

## An Evaluation Of The Realization Of Problem Based Learning On Prospective Education Attendant's Cognizance And Expertise

Krishan Kumar Yadav<sup>1</sup>, Shivani Shrivastava<sup>2</sup>, Sagar Onkarrao Manjare<sup>3</sup>

*Department of Education, Dr. A. P. J. Abdul Kalam University, Indore (M.P.)-452016, India*

### **Abstract:**

*Propelled by positive aftereffects of Problem Based Learning (PBL) execution inside a variety of orders, Problem Based Learning was additionally executed in teacher education fields since the 1980s. From that point forward, the writing on Problem Based Learning execution and its realization in teacher education is developing. In any case, there is no evaluation work answered to finish up on how Problem Based Learning realization explicit learning results in teacher education fields. Subsequently the point of the paper is to assess the exploration proof concerning the realization of Problem Based Learning execution on prospective teachers' cognizance and expertise. Assets were gotten from bibliographic databases and key examination journal. The assets were picked based on explicit consideration standards, trailed by a typical evaluation system to guarantee shared trait and thoroughness during the evaluation. The evaluation works presumed that a Problem Based Learning experience inside teacher education gives chances to prospective teachers to all the while gain cognizance and create expertise esteemed significant for future teachers.*

*Keywords: Problem Based Learning, Prospective teachers, Education Attendant's Cognizance, Expertise, Teachers Education*

### **Introduction**

Call for greatness in instructing and learning in advanced education and college graduates with expertise and skills are inescapable because of the consequences of globalization. Instructive practices in advanced education are encouraging to reflect and confirm for more than cognizance-loaded alumni. Talk based instructional method, which overwhelmingly speaks to the homeroom rehearses in advanced education, is not, at this point adequate to plan such qualities of graduates.

There is a requirement for the reception of more understudy focused learning to address these calls. As of late, Problem Based Learning (PBL), a learning approach that lined up with the social constructivist system has gotten one of the promising advancements in advanced education instructing and learning settings. Problem-Based Learning stand on-premises for supporting student focused learning approach where understudies are problem-solvers think in a basic and inventive manner, dynamic inclusion in creating cognizance, the teacher/mentor expect instructing or encouraging role, filling in as a psychological mentor by checking, testing, testing and framework understudies in solving multifaceted problems and the problem is centered around the learning forms from which substance is determined<sup>[1],[2],[3],[4]</sup>.

Since its commencement around 40 years prior in a clinical education program at McMasters University<sup>[5]</sup>, Problem Based Learning has developed in numerous foundations worldwide and widely applied in bunch fields such an engineering, law and social work<sup>[6]</sup> and proficient education, for example, nursing, structure, designing, optometry, engineering, law and business<sup>[7]</sup>. The adaptability and decent variety of Problem Based Learning make it conceivable to be fused in various manners, in an assortment of subjects and trains, and in different settings<sup>[8]</sup>. Given the apparent points of interest of Problem Based Learning in different fields, there would seem, by all accounts, to be a decent case for the presentation of this instructing and learning approach inside teacher education. Actually, the Problem Based Learning approach has become the middle stage in teacher education since the 1980s<sup>[9]</sup>.

### **Problem Based Learning And Teacher Education**

In teacher education fields, Problem Based Learning has been executed in both alumni and undergrad level and in assortment of courses like Foundations of Education, Inclusion Classrooms, Elementary School Curriculum, Introductory Educational Psychology, Educational Research and Methods <sup>[10]</sup>, and Science Education <sup>[11], [12], [13]</sup>. The drivers for Problem Based Learning execution in the teacher education field are changed from one case and another yet for the most part dedicated to more readily set up the teacher graduates to be more pertinent in their instructing callings. By and large, the teacher's role in schools is presently changing from simply conferring the cognizance to the one that instills innovativeness, intelligence, problem-solving capacity, and basic speculation expertise among understudies. Starting teachers need to furnish themselves with the important expertise, perspectives, and aura to compare with the ever-changing and multifaceted nature of the school homerooms, for example, assorted variety of understudies' experiences, comprehensive study halls, and progressing advancement of advances <sup>[14]</sup>.

In light of this call, Problem Based Learning is viewed as a stage to upgrade prospective teachers' procurement of cognizance and expertise and to set them up for differed roles of a teacher through bona fide situations, group joint efforts, and self-coordinated learning. Finkle and <sup>[15]</sup> depicted Problem Based Learning as educational plan advancement and instructional framework that at the same time create both problem-solving techniques and disciplinary cognizance and expertise by setting the prospective teachers in the dynamic role of problem-solvers went up against with a "poorly organized" problems that mirror this present reality problem and have more than one attainable arrangements. Problem Based Learning includes problems that impact both hypothesis and practice. <sup>[16]</sup> battled how a problem situation that speaks to genuine problems could prompt upgrade prospective teacher's capacity to characterize the problems, produce arrangements, and use of down to earth and writing based assets in the quest for problems arrangement. Similarly, McPhee (2002) <sup>[17]</sup> set forth how teacher education fields are consistent with Problem Based Learning approach. The creator recommends the teacher education itself ought to be found in the casing of constructivism and gave, however not restricted to, a youngster focused point of view. For example, a problem situation of "an exceed expectations, profoundly energetic optional school understudies with the unexpected drop off of accomplishment, and change in conduct" will serve the open door for prospective teachers to investigate interrelated issues like inspiration, learning hypotheses, learning conduct, and national norm and strategy. In this way, from a particular problem situation in a Problem Based Learning approach, prospective teachers will have the chance to encounter interdisciplinary learning, which speaks to the focal standards of Problem Based Learning. Peterson and Treagust (2001) <sup>[18]</sup> place that the cognizance (content cognizance, educational program, and students) combination and call to have deep rooted students in teacher education serves teacher education as fitting for a Problem Based Learning execution.

In general terms, Levin (2001) <sup>[19]</sup> gives a contention to the pertinence of Problem Based Learning applications in teacher education courses. The significance to update an undergrad teacher education course is to make learning more important and connecting with, to help prospective teachers saw their calling as a genuine calling deserving of their insight and enthusiasm. In comparative contentions, Dean (1999) <sup>[20]</sup> seen Problem Based Learning as a significant vehicle to uncover the prospective teachers to the circumstance that they are probably going to look as expert instructors while at the same time rehearsing an educating and learning approach that epitomizes the focal principle of constructivist and social constructivist learning hypothesis.

### **Evaluation Works Of Problem Based Learning Executions And Its Realizations**

The vast majority of the evaluation work to date is dedicated to clinical education <sup>[21], [22], [23], [24]</sup> estimating the realization of Problem Based Learning approach over customary or instructive strategies. When all is said in done, these works presumed that Problem Based Learning understudies perform

better on clinical cognizance obtaining and expertise, while their companions in customary educational plan perform better on essential science cognizance acquisitions. Dochy's et al., (2003) <sup>[25]</sup> meta-investigation and deliberate evaluation by van lair Bossche (2000) <sup>[26]</sup> on the realizations of Problem Based Learning focusing on cognizance and expertise over an assortment of fields additionally checked the above discoveries. Dochy et al., (2003) <sup>[25]</sup> dissect 45 experimental investigations on Problem Based Learning in advanced education articles, and the finding recommends strong positive realizations on understudies' expertise but there is a propensity to negative realizations when taking a gander at the realization of Problem Based Learning on the cognizance. Van lair Bossche's (2000) <sup>[26]</sup> efficient evaluation additionally yielded a similar outcome design where Problem Based Learning has positive realizations on understudies' expertise yet negative realization on their cognizance. Be that as it may, a writing evaluation directed by Colliver (2000) <sup>[24]</sup> proposes conflicting outcomes. The creator discovered there was no considerable proof that Problem Based Learning improves neither cognizance nor the clinical exhibition of understudies.

To my cognizance of existing literature, yet the literature of Problem Based Learning in teacher education is developing, there are no evaluation provides details regarding the execution of Problem Based Learning in teacher education. Thinking about the total experimental proof on how Problem Based Learning realization prospective teachers' cognizance and expertise holds the possibility to refine its work, and pondering any rooms of progress, which will consequently be prompting an improved constructivist learning experience for prospective teachers. What's more, this evaluation works will add to the cognizance hole of the shortage of Problem Based Learning execution in teacher education programs (Kwan, 2008 and Chappel and Hager, 1995) <sup>[27]</sup>, <sup>[7]</sup>. Consequently this paper reports the discoveries from an evaluation work of exploration proof of Problem Based Learning execution in teacher education concentrating on the cognizance and expertise acquisitions of prospective teachers.

### Method

In literature review works, precedent observational assessment articles fill in as the sources. To scan for the pertinent observational exploration articles for evaluation purposes, looking through procedures expect to be thorough and intensive, with the goal that the evaluation will speak to a large portion of the articles in the event that it isn't all. Starter evaluation works began by recovering the articles through a few bibliographic databases for education and sociology research like Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC), British Educational Index, Web of Science (for Science Citation Index, Social Science Citation and Art and Humanities Citation Index), PsycINFO, look in key examination diaries (for example European Journal of Teacher Education and Asia Pacific Journal of Teacher Education) and looks in System for Information on Gray Literature in Europe (SIGLE) for dark sorts of literature. Subject headings and

Catchphrases based on 'Problem Based Learning' were joined with 'teacher education' and 'prospect Education attendants ' that delivered the quantity of titles. The intermittent lists and substance table of issues were looking physically by perusing the edited compositions, planning to distinguish considers that address the realization of Problem Based Learning on prospective teachers' cognizance and expertise. Table 1 indicated the determination measures decided at the start of the examination to choose appropriate articles for consideration in the evaluations. After finishing the determination measures, a few significant articles were perceived as appropriate and applicable to the goal under investigation.

**Table 1:** Four condition to decide papers for evaluations.

Criteria	Description
Category of studies:	Unique and observational examinations with essential information work of Problem Based Learning intercession in teacher education setting

Focus:	For the most part report on the cooperation of cognizance and expertise of prospective teachers in the wake of connecting with learning through Problem Based Learning
Extent of variable:	Distinguishing proof of sorts of mediation or learning condition which satisfy the Problem Based Learning attributes from the first work of Barrows (1996)
Problem Based Learning parameters:	Student-focused learning Students work in a small group with the direction of a guide Tutor as facilitator or guide Begin with bona fide and badly organized problems as the main thrust for enquiry Problem as an instrument to accomplish required cognizance and expertise important to take care of the problems Student-focused learning

This yielded significant articles under the examinations. Next, the snowball technique was utilized, for example perusing chosen articles which prompted the distinguishing proof of further significant sources. Rickinson (2001)<sup>[28]</sup> places this technique as a way to accomplish completeness in a literature search, in which the inquiry procedure is preceded until no new references rise. Following the determination rules, every individual article experienced evaluation forms. Table 2 depicts the evaluation structure built up to guarantee shared trait and breadth of evaluation the works among the chose articles.

**Table 2:** The evaluation system for choosing articles.

Component	Description
Research Objectives	A synopsis of the objectives of the examination concentrate as announced by the specialist in their paper
Hypothetical/Applied approach	Summary of the key hypothetical/theoretical suspicions that support the work detailed (however just to the extent that these are elucidated and recognized by the authors)
Methodology	The more extensive epistemological and hypothetical structure that encompass and support the technique for the examination (just to the extent that these are elucidated and cognizance)
Validation measures	A esteem target estimating legitimacy or dependability (howsoever imagined) that are accounted for by the authors.
Methods	Summarized point by point of the announced methodology of information assortment and information investigation
Fundamental result	Summary of the investigation's principle discoveries as announced by the authors.
Key conclusions	Summary of the primary conclusions drawn from the examination's finding by the authors.

## Results Expertise

It generally articulates that Problem Based Learning encourages expertise advancement and expertise procurement among the students. As in the teacher education field, they require the prospective teachers to create and furnish with basic and scientific capacities to manage the complexities and assorted varieties of their homeroom is inescapable. Consonant to this call, Problem Based Learning is viewed as a vehicle for expertise teaching since its accentuate dynamic learning encounters that prospective teachers ought to be engaged during their showing arrangement course. The main exploration proof of expertise acquisitions was from Edwards and Hammer (2004) <sup>[29]</sup> in their examination on prospective teachers and change towards Problem Based Learning. The creators reasoned that the Problem Based Learning approach is especially appropriate for teacher education as it offers them the chance to procure expertise and hypothetical substance applicable to their future professions inside the intelligent security of the college condition. Moreover, prospective teachers likewise related the advantage of taking part in a Problem Based Learning situation that manages the sensible idea of the experience and saw the chance to create expertise viewed as important to them as future teachers.

De Simone (2008) <sup>[16]</sup> estimated the prospective teacher's problem-solving expertise between exploratory class (Problem Based Learning approach) and control group (conventional methodology). The creator discovered that the test group show better expertise in building the focal problem, expound on the problem, association among arrangement and problem, and utilization of various assets. Likewise, Senocak et al., (2007) <sup>[30]</sup> utilized a semi exploratory examination plan to look at the accomplishment of prospective science teachers on Problem Based Learning educational program and regular techniques in learning about gases. Aside from estimating the accomplishments, four distinct scales were created to check trial groups' evaluation of Problem Based Learning and have effectively evolved prospect Education attendant's fundamental expertise, for example, basic reasoning, literature looking, self-coordinated learning, and problem-solving. These discoveries are bolstered by Taplin and Chan's (2001) <sup>[31]</sup> research result. The creators watched the advancement of expertise and comprehension of prospective teachers as problem solvers. The utilization of diary sections and evaluation structures to test prospective teachers' self-reflection as problem solvers and conceivable change in their pondering showing yielded blended outcomes. In spite of the fact that the prospective teachers don't support handling the academic problems and hesitant to settle on their own choice to take care of the problems introduced, they indicated the capacity to create proper problem-solving procedures expertise and comprehension in a brief timeframe.

Murray-Harvey and Slee (2000) <sup>[32]</sup> applied Problem Based Learning in endeavors to enable prospective teachers to make associations and applying their internet learning to life. To quantify the effect of Problem Based Learning, the creators utilized their input and friend reflection as the assets. Evaluation of prospective teachers' reactions demonstrated their understanding that Problem Based Learning process help built up their basic expertise, intelligent expertise, and expertise required by instructing callings. McPhee (2002) <sup>[17]</sup> utilized prospective teachers' learning criticism and surveys to examine their involvement with issues-based learning in the classes. The prospective teachers depicted the advantages of Problem Based Learning as the best approach to improve their correspondence expertise, group working and information assembling, and choosing and explanatory expertise. Murray-Harvey et al., (2000) <sup>[32]</sup> assess prospective teachers' appraisal of their learning in Problem Based Learning condition across four subject matters advancement: group forms; problem-solving; cognizance building; and, relational expertise through a self-rating of instructional exercise execution poll. To decide any development of these capabilities between two contextual investigations, the creators run a measurable test and discovered that on all skills, prospective teachers had a huge addition in their exhibitions and expertise across two contextual analyses.

### **Cognizance**

In recording the exploration proof of the cognizance securing of prospective teachers subsequent to taking part in Problem Based Learning, there are two classifications of cognizance revealed: 1.

Academic Content Cognizance (PCC), and 2. Premeditated material cognizance. PCC is a thought originally utilized by Shulman and characterizes as "the most impressive analogies, outlines, models, clarifications, and exhibits in a word the methods of speaking to and defining the subject, that make it understandable for other people" (Shulman, 1986) <sup>[33]</sup>. Notwithstanding analysis that Problem Based Learning underscores higher-request thinking and problem-solving expertise to the detriment of low-level cognizance acquisitions, there is some examination proof to recommend Problem Based Learning is likewise advanced cognizance acquisitions.

Propelled by the confinement of science cognizance among teachers, Peterson and Treagust (1998) <sup>[13]</sup> built up a Problem Based Learning structure that tends to prospective teachers' cognizance base for educating (science content cognizance, educational program cognizance, and cognizance of the student) and academic thinking capacity (perception, change, guidance, evaluation, reflection, and new appreciation). Utilizing contextual investigations as an approach to inspire the realization of Problem Based Learning, prospective teachers have been accounted for to build up their cognizance base and educational thinking that relate to their present faith in essential instructing and understudy learning. So and Kim (2009) <sup>[34]</sup> coordinate Problem Based Learning in information and correspondence innovation (ICT) to all the more likely plan future teachers of having educationally stable innovation joining, or specifically mechanical instructive substance cognizance (TPCC). From the overviews and the exercise plan ancient rarities, prospective teachers have had understandings of instructive cognizance about Problem Based Learning, regardless of announcing of experiencing issues to making genuine and not well organized problems and planning undertakings with a harmony between teacher direction and understudy autonomy. In her exertion getting ready prospective science teachers.

The idea of theoretical cognizance is characterized as the sum and association of topic cognizance held in the psyche (Shulman, 1986) <sup>[33]</sup>. Askill-William et al., (2005) <sup>[35]</sup> explored prospective teachers' composed reflection to inspire the adjustments in their psychological model of educating and learning following the experience of a Problem Based Learning action. From the classifications get from prospective teachers' original copy, it is proof that Problem Based Learning process, particularly identified with the contextual analysis extend their cognizance about variables that impact kid improvement. Kwan (2008) <sup>[27]</sup> check learning encounters of prospective teachers towards 3 methods of Problem Based Learning conveyance, to be specific Mode 1: The old style Problem Based Learning, Mode 2: The Alternate Problem Based Learning, and Mode 3: The Modified Model. The discoveries recommended that both Mode 1 and Mode 3 were esteemed doable by prospective teachers in building their cognizance since it requires significant mental procedures that lead to the important conversation (Mode 1), and they can cover the more extensive viewpoint of authentic cognizance inside restricted class time (Mode 3). In getting ready future teachers for instructing with innovation, Albion and Gibson (2000) <sup>[38]</sup> join intuitive sight and sound (IMM) bundles based on Problem Based Learning standards to enable prospective teachers to incorporate innovation in their educating and learning meetings. Their evaluation of the methodology inspired from a review uncovered that prospective teachers picked up bits of knowledge and cognizance in innovation, self-association, and homeroom the executives.

### Summary

Over a variety of college courses and program, Problem Based Learning execution in advanced education is endeavoring to fashion the college learning with this present reality of experts. The focal principles of Problem Based Learning that exceptionally underline the disciplinary cognizance mix and advancement of higher-request thinking expertise by putting the students in dynamic role stood up to with the badly organized problems quicken the converge between the two universes. In teacher education fields, Problem Based Learning is utilized to sufficiently get ready prospective teachers for the real factors of instructing. School-based appraisal, the consideration of conventional expertise in the school educational program, and the move toward result based education are among the original issues that make a call to get ready teachers that both cognizanceable and handy. From the aggregate exact

bits of proof of realization of Problem Based Learning execution on prospective teachers' expertise and cognizance acquisitions, plainly Problem Based Learning upgraded their cognizance and expertise. The current evaluation works have indicated that Problem Based Learning gives off an impression of being proper in instilling expertise requested in the showing callings, for example, information preparing related expertise, basic reasoning expertise, self-coordinated learning expertise, problem-solving expertise, and social expertise. In spite of the fact that Problem Based Learning stresses expertise securing over cognizance, cognizance obtaining is viewed as similarly significant as expertise for the prospective teachers. From all the observational bits of proof evaluation, it is in understanding that Problem Based Learning address both prospective teachers' PCC and theoretical cognizance. The two kinds of cognizance are especially essential to gain by prospective teachers for them to be pertinent in educating callings. As Peterson and Treagust (1998)<sup>[13]</sup> recommend, Problem Based Learning in teacher education could unite in tending to the advancement of substance cognizance and PCC, and the focal attributes of Problem Based Learning problems could prompt the improvement of a scope of cognizance from educational program cognizance to how kids learn. It is presumed that a Problem Based Learning experience inside teacher education gives chances to prospective teachers to at the same time create expertise and gain cognizance.

## References

1. Tan, O.S. (2003). *Problem-based learning innovation: Using problems to power learning in the twenty-first century*. Singapore: Thomson Learning.
2. Edens, K. M. (2000). Preparing problem solvers for the 21st century through problem-based learning. *College teaching*, 48(2), 55-69.
3. Savin-Baden, M. (2000). *Problem-based Learning in Higher Education: Untold Stories*. Buckingham: SRHE and Open University.
4. Major, C. H., and Palmer, B. (2001). The effectiveness of problem-based learning in higher education: lessons from the literature. *Academic Exchange Quarterly*, 5(1).
5. Barrows, H. S. (1996). Problem-based learning in medicine and beyond: a brief overview. In L. Wilkerson, and W. H. Gijssels (Eds.), *New direction for teaching and learning*, 68(3-11). San-Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
6. Boud, D., Feletti, G. (Eds.). (1991). *The challenges of problem-based learning*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
7. Chappel, C. S., and Hager, P. (1995). Problem-based learning and competency development. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 20, 1-7.
8. Savin-Baden., M. (2001). The problem-based learning landscape. *Planet- Special EditionTwo*, November 2001, 4-6. <http://www.gees.ac.uk/planet/p4/msb.pdf>
9. Merseth, K. K. (1996). Cases and case method in teacher education. In *Handbook of Research on Teacher Education*, ed. J. Sikula, 722-44. 2nd ed. New York: Macmillan.
10. Levin, B. B. (Eds.). (2001). *Energizing teacher education and professional development with problem-based learning*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
11. Watters, J. J. (2007). Problem-based learning in pre-service elementary science teacher education: Hostile territory. In *proceedings PBL conference in Problem-Based Learning in Undergraduate and Professional Education*, Birmingham, Alabama, USA.
12. Goodnough, K. (2003). Preparing pre-service science teachers: Can problem- based learning help? Paper Presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association(84th, Chicago, IL, April, 21-25, 2003).

13. Peterson, R. F. and Treagust, D. F. (2001). A problem-based learning approach to science teacher preparation in D.R. Lavoie and W. –M. Roth (eds.) *Models of Science Teacher Preparation*, 49-66. Kluwer Academic Publishers. Netherlands.
14. Dean, C. (1998). PBL and meeting the challenges of teacher education. Retrieved January 30, 2012, from <http://www.samford.edu/pubs/pbl/pblins1.pdf>.
15. Finkle, S. L., and Torp, L. L. (1995). *Introductory Documents*. Illinois Math and Science Academy. Aurora, Illinois.
16. De Simone, C. (2008). Problem-Based Learning: a framework for prospective teachers' pedagogical problem solving. *Teacher Development*, 12(3), 179-191.
17. McPhee, A. (2002). Problem Based Learning in initial teacher education: taking the agenda forward. *Journal of Educational Enquiry*, 3(1), 60-78.
18. Peterson, R. F. and Treagust, D. F. (1998). Learning to teach primary science through problem-based learning. *Science Education*, 82, 215-237.
19. Levin, B. B. (Eds.). (2001). *Energizing teacher education and professional development with problem-based learning*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
20. Dean, C. D. (1999). Problem-based learning in teacher education. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (Montreal, Quebec, Canada, April 19-23, 1999).
21. Albanese, M., and Mitchell, S. (1993). Problem-based learning: a review of literature on its outcomes and implementation issues. *Academic Medicine: Journal of the Association of American Medical Colleges*, 68 (1), 52-81.
22. Vernon, D.T., and Blake, R. L., (1993). Does problem-based learning work? A meta-analysis of evaluative research. *Academic Medicine*, 68(7), 550-563.
23. Norman, G. R., and Schmidt, H. G. (2000). Effectiveness of problem-based learning curricula: theory, practice and paper darts. *Medical Education*, 34, 721-728.
24. Colliver, J. (2000). Effectiveness of problem based learning curricula. *Academic Medicine*, 75, 259-266.
25. Dochy, F., Segers, M., Van den Bossche, P. and Gijbels, D. (2003). Effects of problem-based learning: a meta-analysis. *Learning and Instruction*, 13, 533-568.
26. Van de Bossche, P., Gijbels, D. and Dochy, F. (2000). Does problem-based learning educate problem solvers? A meta-analysis of the effects of problem based learning. Paper presented at the Seventh Annual EDINEB International Conference, Newport Beach, CA. van den Bossche, P., Gijbels, D. and Dochy, F. (2000). Does problem-based learning educate problem solvers? A meta analysis of the effects of problem-based learning. Paper presented at the Seventh Annual EDINEB International Conference, Newport Beach, CA.
27. Kwan, T. Y. L. (2008). Student-teachers' evaluation on the use of different modes of problem-based learning in teacher education. *Asia Pacific Journal of Teacher Education*, 36(4), 323-343.
28. Rickinson, M., (2001). Learners and learning in environmental education: a critical review of evidence. *Environmental Education Research*, 7(3), 209- 320.
29. Edwards, S. & Hammer, M. (2004). *Teacher education and Problem-Based Learning: Exploring the issues and identifying the benefits*. Paper presented at the International Conference of the Australian Association for Research in Education. Melbourne, November.
30. Senocak, E., Taskesenligil, Y. and Sozbilir, M. (2007). A study on teaching gases through problem-based learning. *Research in Science Education*, 37, 279-290.
31. Taplin, M. and Chan, C. (2001). Developing problem solving practitioners. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 4, 285-304.

32. Murray-Harvey, R. and Slee, P. (2000). Problem based learning in teacher education; Just the beginning. Paper presented at the annual conference of the Australian Association for Research in Education, Sydney, Australia, 4-6 December 2000.
33. Shulman, L. S. (1986). Those who understand: Knowledge growth in teaching. *Educational Researcher*, 15(2), 4-14.
34. So, H., and Kim, B. (2009). Learning about problem based learning: Student teachers integrating technology, pedagogy and content knowledge. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 25(1), 101-116.
35. Askeel-William, H., Murray-Harvey, R., and Lawson, M. J. (2005). Extending teacher education students' mental models of teaching and learning through Problem Based Learning. Paper presented at the 2005 Annual Conference of the Australian Association for Research in Education, Sydney, November 28th, 2005.
36. Albion, P. R., and Gibson, I. W. (2000). Problem-based learning as a multimedia design framework in teacher education. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 8(4), 315-326.