

## Landslide Hazard Assessment: Recent Trends and Techniques

\* **G.Sumanth Reddy & Dr.S.Needhidasan M.Subagunasekar**

*Saveetha School of Engineering Saveetha  
Institute of Medical & Technical Sciences,  
Chennai [sumanthreddy7799@gmail.com](mailto:sumanthreddy7799@gmail.com)*

### ABSTRACT

*Landslide Risk Assessment is an essential stage regarding to avalanche peril and hazard the board. There are several techniques for Avalanche Danger Zonation. They are heuristic, semi quantitative, quantitative, probabilistic and multi-criteria fundamental activity system. In any case, nobody strategy is acknowledged generally for viable appraisal of avalanche perils. Lately, a few endeavors have been made to apply various techniques for LHZ and to contrast brings about request with locate the most appropriate model.*

*This paper introduces the survey of looks into on avalanche peril mapping distributed as of late. The advanced multivariate techniques are wind up being incredible in spatial desire for torrential slides with significant level of precision. Physical system based models in like way perform well in Avalanche Danger Zonation in any event, during the zones with poor database. Multi-criteria, fundamental initiative methodology moreover accepts the basic occupation in choosing relative noteworthiness of torrential slide responsible factors in the incline uncertainty process. Remote Sensing and Geographical Information System (GIS) are fantastic resources to survey the torrential slide risks. Airborne photographs and significant standard satellite data are useful in revelation and checking torrential slide structures. GIS based Avalanche danger zonation models help not only to map and monitor screen torrential slides, but also to explain future risks also.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Landslide Risk Assessment, Avalanche perils, LHZ mapping, Remote Sensing and Geographical Information System.*

### INTRODUCTION

Landslide is a significant topographical peril that makes harm characteristic and social condition. The idea of avalanche is managed by numerous creators in an unexpected way. Varnes and IAEG (1984) characterized avalanches as 'for all intents and purposes all groupings of mass improvements on incline including whatever as rock falls, topples and junk stream that incorporate alongside zero certified sliding'. Brusden (1984) considered landslides as an uncommon sort of mass vehicle and a methodology which don't require a transportation medium for water, air or ice. Crozier (1986) portrayed landslides as 'the outward and slipping gravitational improvement of the earth material without the guide of running water as a transportation expert'. As demonstrated by Hutchinson (1988), 'A torrential slide in its demanding sense is a by and large speedy mass wasting process that causes the down inclination advancement of mass of rock, rubbish or earth actuated by arrangement of external improvement'. A progressing definition by Courture R (2011) basically communicates that torrential slide is an improvement of mass of soil or rock down a slope. This thought of torrential slide is more grow concerning the kind of material that slips incline.

### LITERATURE REVIEW:-

#### Avalanches and zonation

Avalanches are the basic events which cause the loss of lives and destroy the environment. The term avalanche danger zonation is described by various makers in a surprising manner.

In spite of the fact that there are various ways to deal with characterize avalanche risks, a considerable lot of the specialists have generally embraced or altered the definition referred by the author Varnes and IAEG (1984).

Avalanches have been important advancement in couple of torrential slide risks, particularly those done by man-made and in count of torrential slide assessments in various parts of the earth given by (Gutierrez et al. 2010). The genuine assessment of general torrential slide writing was finished by Gokceoglu and Sezer (2009).

They contended that distribution of avalanche associated journals in the worldwide diaries has encountered aggressive development. They likewise called attention to that avalanche defenselessness appraisal is a significant piece of avalanche examination and got more consideration with most noteworthy number of distributions in universal diaries.

During the last 30 years, Avalanche danger zonation mapping have been done in various parts of the world. A couple of philosophies made for Avalanche danger zonation mapping, for instance, stock-based mapping, heuristic procedure probabilistic evaluation, deterministic strategy, quantifiable examination etc.,

### **Distribution analysis**

It is the easiest subjective methodologies of Avalanche zonation mapping. It is also termed as 'avalanche stock'. By this analysis, avalanche stock maps are delivered which depict spatial and fleeting examples of avalanche appropriation, sort of development, pace of development, kind of uprooted material (earth, flotsam and jetsam or rock) and so forth. Avalanche information are acquired through field overview mapping, chronicled records, satellite pictures and airborne photograph translation. Avalanche appropriation and thickness maps give premise to other avalanche defenselessness strategies.

Avalanche stock assume huge job in avalanche danger evaluation. The quality and culmination of avalanche stock impacts dependability of avalanche examination. Galli et al. (2008) analyzed avalanche stock maps arranged for various pieces of Italy. Avalanche appropriation stock, geomorphological avalanche mapping and multi-fleeting avalanche designers were gathered and relations between them were connected. The eventual outcomes of the assessment uncovered that the total avalanche stock guide give high prescient capacity to avalanche weakness investigation.

### **Statistical Approach**

In most recent time, the methodology towards Avalanche Risk Reduction (ARR) has been changed from heuristic way for dealing with information operated methodology limit individuality in weightage task system and gives progressively objective and the reproducible effects. The techniques dependent by measurable examination of geological and ecological components identified with avalanche events are linked. The factual techniques for Avalanche Risk Reduction are divided by 2 types. They are bi-variate factual examination and multi-purpose measurable investigation. **Bi-variate statistical analysis**

In view of two variates quantifiable examination for torrential slide hazard zonation of causative factor to the present heavy slide spread. The Weights to the heavily affected avalanche parts are allotted dependent upon the heavy slide thickness. Statistical analysis approach, Data Value Model (DVM), Weights of Evidence Model, Weighted overlay model and so forth are gigantic bivariate precise systems utilized in Avalanche zonation mapping.

### **Weight of evidence model**

Weight of Evidence is an immediate kind of Bayesian likelihood piece for a heavy slide shortcoming assessment that utilizes heavy slide event as preparing fixations to derive measure yields. It figures both limitless and unforeseen likelihood of heavy slide dangers. This strategy endless supply of the exact weights to the depict level of structural relation between heavy slide event and every instructive factors section. It has been utilized for landslide vulnerability since 1990.

It uses different combinations of heavy slide variables to differentiate between them and heavy landslide distribution.

### **Weighted overlay technique**

Weighted overlay is a key certifiable technique where the heaps are given out dependent upon the relationship of heavy slide causative portions with the landslide built up a philosophy of avalanche risk zonation mapping for Rudraprayag territory in Garhwal Himalayas. Weights are assigned to causative components subject to their relationship with the heavy slide rehash. At long last, the information layers were overlaid to pass on Avalanche risk map. The completed heavy slide peril

assessment utilizing GIS based weighted overlay framework in the Dehradun region begins at Uttarakhand in India. The assessment uncovered that snappy deforestation and urbanization have established heavy slides in the evaluation region. This strategy is utilized to pick the general vitality of heavy slide causative factor in heavy slide event.

### **Frequency ratio approach**

Frequency or Recurrence ratio is the verifiable process of torrential slide powerlessness assessment which relies upon watched associations between torrential slide scattering and every cause related factor of torrential slides. This technique is used to set up the structural association bounded by torrential slide territory and torrential slide legitimate factors.

Repercussion is resolved based on their relation to torrential occurrences for each subset of individual causative factor.

### **Data Value Method (DVM)**

Data Value Model (DVM) is a two-dimensional quantifiable system for structural desire for torrential slides reliant on associations between torrential slide occasion and related parameters. The information concerning the torrential slide parameters are calculated in accordance with the proximity of torrential slide in a provides mapping system. A couple of examinations have applied this procedure for avalanche risk zone mapping.

The work was finished by zezere 2002, the torrential slide vulnerability evaluation considering torrential slide typology in North Lisbon, Portugal. The information regards for avenues and fluvial coordinates are found in high torrential slide weakness section. The assessment revealed that anthropogenic events accept enormous occupation in torrential slide occasion and degree of torrential slides depends upon all the things considered, on typology of torrential slides. The torrential slide weakness maps for Minamata district of Japan conveyed by Logistic Regression and Data value model in Remote sensing and GIS condition.

### **BIS based LHEF method**

Bureau of Indian Standards (1998) has given rules for large scale level avalanche peril zonation in India. BIS based Avalanche Risk Assessment Factor (ARAF) rating plan for avalanche weakness zonation is a heuristic way to deal with avalanche peril appraisal. As indicated by Bureau of Indian Standards (1998) avalanche peril zonation system can be performed utilizing ARAF rating for various avalanche causative components. They distinguished 6 avalanche original elements for danger zonation. They are lithology, structure, incline morphometry, relative alleviation, land use-land spread and hydrological condition. Right now, territory under scrutiny is partitioned into small mapping units where the numerical loads are appointed for each topical information layer lastly Total Estimated Hazard is acquired by including loads for every factor in each mapping piece and Avalanche risk map is created. This technique was applied to outline the defenselessness at meso-scale in Nainital, Himalayas. The inclined aspect map was considered as base guide to get ready topical information layers. Barely any endeavors have been made to apply this strategy in a few pieces of India.

Fluffy Logic strategy for avalanche risk zonation depends on bi-variate examination wherein every avalanche informative variable is spoken to by an incentive somewhere in the range of 0 and 1 dependent on the level of relationship of these parameters with avalanche event. **Multi-variate measurable Investigation** Multi-variate measurable investigation for avalanche risk control examines the comparable commitment of every topical information phase to the complete avalanche powerlessness. Such strategies are as certain level for avalanche region to every constituent and avalanche nonappearance - nearness information layer is delivered trailed by the use of the multivariate factual strategy of renaming for peril to the given region. Strategic relapse type, inclined examination, several relapse types, contingent investigation, etc., were regularly utilizes the strategies to avalanche risk zonation maps.

### **Discriminant investigation method**

Discriminant investigation is one of the much of the time utilized measurable practices for avalanche risk zonation. Discriminant examination permits us to decide the greatest distinction for every free factor between avalanche gathering and non-avalanche gathering and to decide loads for these components. Slant units are ordered into avalanche influenced, avalanche free classes and afterward

relative significance of every factor is conveyed through the Standardized Discriminant Function Coefficient (SDFC). It reveals the relative importance of each element as a measure of slant unstableness in discriminating function. High coefficient variable is apparently associated with avalanche closeness or nonappearance.

**Artificial neural strategy method** Artificial neural system strategy (ANS) is a nonstraight model and wind up being progressively effective in torrential slide risk assessment. Scarcely any examinations starting late have assessed torrential slide helplessness using orderly and expansive geomorphic mapping. An attempt was carried out to depict of torrential slide reviving by using tree-ring parameters for the torrential slide shortcoming evaluation. The association between torrential slide reactivation and age structure of the stand and disrupting impacts by using dendro geomorphic assessment was carried out.

#### **Probability distribution function.**

This theory is quantitative still the certain level of matter exists in weight analysis technique. In Staffora river of North Apennines in Italy, the torrential slide test was done by the probabilistic method. They enlisted probability of avalanche size, fleeting and basic livelihood of heavy slides utilizing rehash region dispersing work. Lethal substance likelihood model was applied to pick exceedance likelihood of heavy slide in each mapping unit.

The quantitative avalanche danger evaluation in transport course of Nilgiri Hills, India was performed by authors. Recurrence volume insights was done to get likelihood of avalanche size for various time period. The eventual outcomes of the assessment exhibited that assortment in yearly torrential slide repeat and volume are related to proportion of precipitation. In this manner, likelihood of avalanche size dependent on avalanche recurrence rate can be assessed by joining precipitation size information.

**Analytic hierarchy process approach** Avalanche risk evaluation includes thought of a few avalanche informative factors. This is a basic process to choose relative commitment of the individual results in avalanche event. In this manner, the use of Multi Criteria Decision making approach (MCDA) is of most extreme significance in risk zonation mapping. The Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) is a multicriteria essential administration technique of estimation through pair insightful assessments and relies upon the choices of the pros to derive the scales needed. AHP explains in four ways. They are portraying issue, affirmation of goals and decisions, advancement of pair astute assessment grid, choosing loads and getting when all is said in done need. Supreme numbers (1 to 9) are relegated to every avalanche related result dependent on its relative significance and examination grids are built to process approach.

#### **Consistency Ratio and Index:**

The united precipitation limit for measure of heavy slides in Seattle, Washington, USA was performed. This type was separated and chronicled parameters of precipitation and heavy slide occasions. The outcomes showed that consistency ratio got over ninety percentage of the genuine heavy slide occasions. They struggled that both CT and exceedance precipitation power term edge must be utilized together for heavy slide measure.

From beginning, The High-resolution Slope Stability Simulator (HIRESSES) model was used at a late stage to predict the use of strong sliding according to hydrological parameters.

#### **Application of Remote Sensing and GIS:**

Innovation of the significant spatial data identified with avalanche event is a fundamental piece of risk evaluation. Remotely Sensed (RS) information joined with Geographical Information System (GIS) are end up being successful apparatuses for creating and handling spatial data. The progression in earth Observation (EO) methods encourage successful avalanche recognition, mapping, checking and danger examination.

Geological Information System (GIS) is generally utilized in avalanche risk evaluation particularly for age of topical information layers, calculation of various lists, task of loads, information mix and age of LSZ maps. A few LSZ techniques, for example, Data based models, Spatial analysis and truly related to avalanche danger models are GIS based types.

## Conclusion

Landslide danger zonation is a basic undertaking in avalanche the executive procedure. Avalanches are impacted by a few preliminary and activating variables which change fundamentally from district to area. It is along these lines hard to decide loads for given limit. The task loads dependent on respective significance of avalanche original components is dictated as a few LHZ strategies in an unexpected way. Heuristic and semi quantitative methods include subjectivity in appointing of loads subsequently legitimacy of these maps can't be surveyed. Quantitative techniques then again, give target strategies to deciding loads for a given parameter dependent on their associations with avalanche event. Multi-criteria choice methodology gives devices to decide loads dependent on pair astute examination.

Now a days, Remote sensing and GIS are the powerful resources which are widely successful in the avalanche risk evaluation. High goals satellite information joined with groundbreaking GIS systems have gained the degree of precision of Avalanche risk zonation maps as of late.

## References

1. Akgun A (2011), A comparison of landslide susceptibility maps produced by logistic regression, Multi- criteria decision and likelihood ratio methods: A case study at Izmir, Turkey. *Landslide*, doi:10.1007/s10346-011-0283-7.
2. Anbalagan R, Chakraborty D, (2008), Landslide Hazard zonation (LHZ) mapping on meso-scale for systematic town planning in mountainous terrain. *J Sci Ind Res* 67:486-497.
3. Blahut J, Van Westen C.J, (2010), Analysis of landslide inventories for accurate prediction of debris flow source areas, *Geophys J Roy Astron Soc* 119:36-5.
4. Cardinali M, Guzzetti F, Salvati P (2002), A Geomorphological approach to estimation of landslide hazards and risks in Umbria, Central Italy, *Nat Hazards Earth system Sci* 2:57-72.
5. Lee C, Huang C, Lee J, (2008), Statistical approach to storm eventinduced landslides susceptibility. *Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci* 8:941-960.
6. Pradhan B, Lee S (2009), Landslide risk analysis using artificial neural model focusing on different training sites. *Int J Phys Sci* 4:001-015.
7. Varnes D, IAEG (1984), Landslide hazard zonation: A review of principles and practice. United Nations Scientific and Cultural Organization, Paris, pp 1-6 Wang H, Sassa K (2005), Comparative evaluation of landslide susceptibility in Minamata area, Japan. *Environ Geol* 47:956-966.
8. Yeon Y, Han J, (2010), Landslide susceptibility mapping in Injae, Korea using a decision tree. *Eng Geol* 166:274283.
9. Crozier, Michael J, (1986), Landslides : Causes, Consequences and Environment, Croom Helm, London.
10. J. Hutchinson (1983), Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Imperial College of Science, London.
11. Rezig et al. (1996), Mapping landslide susceptibility from small datasets: A case study in the Pays de Herve.
12. Kanungo, D.P., Arora, (2009). A fuzzy set based approach for integration of thematic maps for landslide susceptibility zonation. *Georisk*, 3(1), 30-43.
13. Wang, H.B., & Sassa, K, (2005), Comparative evaluation of landslide susceptibility in Minamata area, Japan. *Environmental Geology*, 47(7), 956966.
14. G Singh, Y., Singh, K, (2019), Landslide susceptibility mapping along National Highway-1 in Jammu and Kashmir State (India). *Innovative Infrastructure solutions*, 4(1), 59.
15. Guzzetti, F & Reichenbach, P. (1999), Landslide hazard evaluation: A review of current techniques and their application in a multi-scale study, Central Italy. *Geomorphology*, 31(1-4), 181-216.
16. Jaiswal, P & Jetten, V. (2010), Quantitative landslide hazard assessment along a transportation corridor in Southern India. *Engineering geology*, 116(3-4), 236-250.
17. Chelboard, F & Godt, J. (2006), US Geological Survey Open file report: Vol. 2006-1064. Rainfall Thresholds for Forecasting Landslides in the Seattle, Washington, Area-Exceedance and Probability, 1-17.

18. Kavzoglu, T., & Colkesen, I. (2013), An assessment of the effectiveness of a rotation forest ensemble for land-use and land-cover mapping. *International journal of remote sensing*, 34(12), 4224-4241.
19. Abella, E. A. C., & Van Westen, C. J. (2008), Quantitative landslide susceptibility assessment by multicriteria analysis: A case study from San Antonio del Sur, Guantanamo, Cuba. *Geomorphology*, 94(3-4), 453-466.
20. Conoscenti, C., Ciaccio, Gomez – Gutierrez, (2015), Assessment of susceptibility of earth-flow landslide using logistic regression and multivariate regression splines: A case study of the Belice River basin (Western Sicily, Italy). *Geomorphology*, 242, 49-64.
21. Akgun, A, Gokceoglu, C, & Pradhan, B. (2012), An easy-to-use MATLAB program (mamland) for the assessment of landslide susceptibility using a Mamdani fuzzy algorithm. *Computers & Geosciences*, 38(1), 23-34.
22. Kannan, M., Saranathan, E., & Anbalagan, R.(2011), Macro Landslide Hazard Zonation Mapping-Case study from Bodi-Bodimettu Ghats section, Theni District, Tamil Nadu-India. *Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing*, 39(4), 485-496.