

1- Generator Quasi-Cyclic Codes over $F_2[u, v, w]/\langle u^2, v^2, w^2, uv - vu, vw - wv, uw - wu \rangle$

Jagbir Singh¹, Prateek Mor², Sangita Yadav³

[1]Department of Mathematics, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak-124001, India
(E-mail: ahlawatjagbir@gmail.com)

[2]Department of Mathematics, Government College Israna, Panipat-132103, India
(E-mail: prateekmor1992@gmail.com)

[3]Department of Mathematics, S.K Government College Kanwali, Rewari-123411, India
(E-mail: sangita.math1984@gmail.com)

Abstract

Minimal spanning set for 1- generator quasi-cyclic(QC)codes and 1- generator generalized quasi-cyclic (GQC)codes are over the ring $R = F_2 + uF_2 + vF_2 + uvF_2 + wF_2 + uwF_2 + vwF_2 + uvwF_2$ are derived in this paper. Lower bound for the minimum distance of these codes over ring R are also derived.

2010 AMS Classification: 94B05, 94B60.

Keywords and phrases: Cyclic codes, Quasi- cyclic codes, Lee weight, Gray maps.

1 Introduction

Cyclic codes have a prominent place in algebraic coding theory because of their rich algebraic structure and good parameters. Cyclic codes over finite chain rings were discussed in [1, 2, 3, 9, 13, 16, 18, 21]. 1- generator quasi cyclic codes over $F_p^m + uF_p^m + \dots + u^{s-1}F_p^m$ where m, s are positive integers such that $s \geq 2$ and $u^s = 0$ were obtained in [10]. In [15] QC codes over Z_q were derived and QC codes over Z_4 with some new binary codes were studied in [16]. 1- generator QC codes over $F_2 + uF_2$, where $u^2 = 0$, were derived in [18] and over the ring $F_p + uF_p$ where $u^2 = u$ in [19]. Cyclic codes over the ring $F_p[u, v, w]/\langle u^2, v^2, w^2, uv - vu, vw - wv, uw - wu \rangle$ were discussed in [14]. There are many well known reasons to work on QC codes as the QC codes over finite fields are closely related to convolutional codes [5] and meet a modified version of Gilbert-Varshamov bound [12].

The structure of 1-generator quasi-cyclic codes was investigated in [7, 9, 20] and a polynomial approach is presented in [11]. The structure of generalized quasi-cyclic codes (GQC) over finite fields, was discussed with their generators and a BCH type bound for these codes in [7, 9, 18]. Further this study has been extended in [3, 4]. In [2] QC codes over the ring $F_2 + uF_2 + vF_2 + uvF_2$, and some new best known binary linear codes as gray images of QC codes are obtained.

Motivated by the studies in [17], we obtained 1- generator QC codes and GQC codes over the ring $F_2[u, v, w]/\langle u^2, v^2, w^2, uv - vu, vw - wv, uw - wu \rangle$.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper R denotes the ring $F_2 + uF_2 + vF_2 + uvF_2 + wF_2 + uwF_2 + vwF_2 + uvwF_2$ where $u^2 = v^2 = w^2 = 0, uv = vu, vw = wv, uw = wu$.

A linear code \mathcal{C} over R of length n is an R -submodule of R^n . Lee Weight of element $a + ub + vc + uvd + we + uwf + vwg + uvwh$ of R where a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h are elements of F_2 is $W_H(\Phi(a + ub + vc + uvd + we + uwf + vwg + uvwh)) = W_H(h, f + h, g + h, d + h, e + f + g + h, b + d + f + h, c + d + g + h, a + b + c + d + e + f + g + h)$ where W_H is the Hamming Weight and $\Phi: R \rightarrow F_2^8$ defined by $\Phi(a + ub + vc + uvd + we + uwf + vwg + uvwh) = (h, f + h, g + h, d + h, e + f + g + h, b + d + f + h, c + d + g + h, a + b + c + d + e + f + g + h)$ is a Gray map.

Lee Weight $W_L(c)$ of n -tuple in R^n is obtained as the rational sum of Lee weights of its components. Minimum Lee distance of a linear code \mathcal{C} over R is $d_L(\mathcal{C}) = \min \{ W_L(c) : 0 \neq c \in \mathcal{C} \}$. Similarly, is defined the minimum Hamming Weight $d_H(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} over R as $d_H(\mathcal{C}) = \min \{ d_H(c) : 0 \neq c \in \mathcal{C} \}$. The Gray map naturally extend to R^n as distance preserving isometry $\Phi: (R^n, \text{Lee Weight}) \rightarrow (F_2^8, \text{Hamming Weight})$ as $\Phi(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \rightarrow (\Phi(\alpha_1), \Phi(\alpha_2), \dots, \Phi(\alpha_n))$ where $\alpha_i \in R$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Theorem 2.1 *Let \mathcal{C} is a linear code of size 2^k , minimum distance d and length n over R , then $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$ is a binary linear code with parameters $[\delta n, k, d]$.*

Complete ideal structure of a cyclic code \mathcal{C} over R of length n is as follows:

Theorem 2.2 [14] *Let \mathcal{C} be a cyclic code of length n over the ring R . Then*

(i) If n is even, then $\mathcal{C} = \langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4, \tau_5, \tau_6, \tau_7, \tau_8 \rangle$ where

$$\tau_1 = \theta_1(x) + u\xi_{1,2}(x) + v\xi_{1,3}(x) + uv\xi_{1,4}(x) + w\xi_{1,5}(x) + uw\xi_{1,6}(x) + vw\xi_{1,7}(x) + uvw\xi_{1,8}(x)$$

$$\tau_2 = u\theta_2(x) + v\xi_{2,3}(x) + uv\xi_{2,4}(x) + w\xi_{2,5}(x) + uw\xi_{2,6}(x) + vw\xi_{2,7}(x) + uvw\xi_{2,8}(x)$$

$$\tau_3 = v\theta_3(x) + uv\xi_{3,4}(x) + w\xi_{3,5}(x) + uw\xi_{3,6}(x) + vw\xi_{3,7}(x) + uvw\xi_{3,8}(x)$$

$$\tau_4 = uv\theta_4(x) + w\xi_{4,5}(x) + uw\xi_{4,6}(x) + vw\xi_{4,7}(x) + uvw\xi_{4,8}(x)$$

$$\tau_5 = w\theta_5(x) + uw\xi_{5,6}(x) + vw\xi_{5,7}(x) + uvw\xi_{5,8}(x)$$

$$\tau_6 = uw\theta_6(x) + vw\xi_{6,7}(x) + uvw\xi_{6,8}(x)$$

$$\tau_7 = vw\theta_7(x) + uvw\xi_{7,8}(x)$$

$$\tau_8 = uvw\theta_8(x)$$

and $\theta_4(x) | \theta_2(x) | \theta_1(x) | x^n - 1$, $\theta_4(x) | \theta_3(x) | \theta_1(x) | x^n - 1$, $\theta_8(x) | \theta_6(x) | \theta_5(x) | x^n - 1$, $\theta_8(x) | \theta_7(x) | \theta_5(x) | \theta_1(x)$, $\theta_6(x) | \theta_2(x)$ and $\theta_7(x) | \theta_3(x)$ over F_2 .

(ii) for odd n , $\mathcal{C} = \langle \theta_1(x) + u\theta_2(x), v\theta_3(x) + uv\theta_4(x), w\theta_5(x) + uw\theta_6(x), vw\theta_7(x) + uvw\theta_8(x) \rangle$

Where $\theta_4(x) | \theta_2(x) | \theta_1(x) | x^n - 1$, $\theta_4(x) | \theta_3(x) | \theta_1(x) | x^n - 1$, $\theta_8(x) | \theta_6(x) | \theta_5(x) | x^n - 1$,

$\theta_8(x) | \theta_7(x) | \theta_5(x) | \theta_1(x)$, $\theta_6(x) | \theta_2(x)$ and $\theta_7(x) | \theta_3(x)$ over F_2 .

(iii) Also \mathcal{C} is free cyclic code if and only if $\mathcal{C} = \langle \tau_1 \rangle$ and $\tau_1 | x^n - 1$ in $R[x]$.

Theorem 2.3 [2] *If $\mathcal{C} = \langle g(x) \rangle$ is cyclic code over R of length n such that $g(x) | x^n - 1$ in $R[x] / \langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ and $f(x) \in R$ is co-prime to $g(x)$ then $\mathcal{C} = \langle (f(x).g(x)) \rangle$.*

Theorem 2.4 [22] *If \mathcal{C} is cyclic code over a finite field $GF(q)$ of length n and s be the number of consecutive power of the n^{th} roots of unity that are zeros of $g(x)$ then $d_H(\mathcal{C}) \geq s + 1$.*

3 1-Generator Quasi-cyclic Codes Over R

For positive integer ℓ , the linear code \mathcal{C} , which is invariant under ℓ cyclic shifts, is called an ℓ -quasi cyclic code. Such a smallest ℓ is known as index of \mathcal{C} . Under polynomial notations, \mathcal{C} is ℓ -quasi cyclic code of length $n = m\ell$ over R if and only if \mathcal{C} is an $R_m[x] = R[x]/\langle x^m - 1 \rangle$ -submodule of $(R_m[x])^\ell$. An r -generator QC code is a R_m -submodule of R_m^ℓ with r -generators. Thus, 1-generator QC code \mathcal{C} over R generated by $F(x) \in (R_m)^\ell$ is $\{\xi(x)F(x) : \xi(x) \in R_m\}$.

Theorem 3.1 If \mathcal{C} is a 1-generator ℓ -QC code over the ring R of length $n = m\ell$ generated by $P(x) = (P_1(x), P_2(x), \dots, P_\ell(x)) \in (R_m[x])^\ell$. For each i , $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, $P_i(x)$ belongs to a cyclic code \mathcal{C}_i over R of length m and is of the form $\theta_{1i}(\xi_1(x) + u\xi_2(x)) + \theta_{2i}(v\xi_3(x) + uv\xi_4(x)) + \theta_{3i}(w\xi_5(x) + uw\xi_6(x)) + \theta_{4i}(vw\xi_7(x) + uvw\xi_8(x))$ when m is odd and of the form $\theta_{1i}\tau_1 + \theta_{2i}\varsigma_2 + \theta_{3i}\tau_3 + \theta_{4i}\tau_4 + \theta_{5i}\tau_5 + \theta_{6i}\tau_6 + \theta_{7i}\tau_7 + \theta_{8i}\tau_8$ when m is even, for some $\theta_{1i}, \theta_{2i}, \dots, \theta_{8i} \in R_m[x]$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_8$ are defined in Theorem 2.2.

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, Assume projection map $\prod_i (P_1(x), P_2(x), \dots, P_\ell(x)) \rightarrow P_i(x)$. If $\mathcal{C} = \langle (P_1(x), P_2(x), \dots, P_\ell(x)) \rangle$ is an ℓ -QC code of length $n = m\ell$, then due to Theorem 2.2 $\prod_i (\mathcal{C})$ is a cyclic code over R of length m .

Consider \mathcal{C} to be a 1-generator ℓ -QC code over R of length $n = m\ell$ generated by $X_1 = (\xi_1g_1 + uk_1 + vp_1 + uvq_1 + wr_1 + uws_1 + vwy_1 + uvwz_1, \dots, \xi_\ellg_\ell + uk_\ell + vp_\ell + uvq_\ell + wr_\ell + uws_\ell + vwy_\ell + uvwz_\ell)$ where $\xi_i, g_i, k_i, p_i, r_i, s_i, y_i, z_i$ in $F_2[x]$, g_i divides $x^m - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Assume that i_0 be selected such that $1 \leq i_0 \leq \ell$ and $(\xi_{i_0}g_{i_0} + uk_{i_0} + vp_{i_0} + uvq_{i_0} + wr_{i_0} + uws_{i_0} + vwy_{i_0} + uvwz_{i_0})$ does not divides $x^m - 1$. Further assume

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \gcd(\xi_1g_1, \xi_2g_2, \dots, \xi_\ellg_\ell, x^m - 1) \text{ with } g\mu_1 = x^m - 1, \deg(\mu_1) = t_1, \\ \rho_1 &= \gcd(k_1\mu_1, k_2\mu_1, \dots, k_\ell\mu_1, x^m - 1) \text{ with } \rho_1\mu_2 = x^m - 1, \deg(\mu_2) = t_2, \\ \rho_2 &= \gcd(p_1\mu_1\mu_2, p_2\mu_1\mu_2, \dots, p_\ell\mu_1\mu_2, x^m - 1) \text{ with } \rho_2\mu_3 = x^m - 1, \deg(\mu_3) = t_3, \\ \rho_3 &= \gcd(q_1\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3, q_2\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3, \dots, q_\ell\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3, x^m - 1) \text{ with } \rho_3\mu_4 = x^m - 1, \deg(\mu_4) = t_4, \\ \rho_4 &= \gcd(r_1\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4, r_2\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4, \dots, r_\ell\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4, x^m - 1) \text{ with } \rho_4\mu_5 = x^m - 1, \deg(\mu_5) = t_5, \\ \rho_5 &= \gcd(s_1\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5, s_2\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5, \dots, s_\ell\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5, x^m - 1) \text{ with } \rho_5\mu_6 = x^m - 1, \deg(\mu_6) = t_6, \\ \rho_6 &= \gcd(y_1\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5\mu_6, y_2\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5\mu_6, \dots, y_\ell\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5\mu_6, x^m - 1) \text{ with } \rho_6\mu_7 \\ &= x^m - 1, \deg(\mu_7) = t_7, \\ \rho_7 &= \gcd(z_1\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5\mu_6\mu_7, z_2\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5\mu_6\mu_7, \dots, z_\ell\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5\mu_6\mu_7, x^m - 1) \text{ with } \rho_7\mu_8 \\ &= x^m - 1, \deg(\mu_8) = t_8 \end{aligned}$$

and $X_i = \mu_{i-1}X_{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq \ell$.

Theorem 3.2 The minimal spanning set for 1-generator ℓ -QC codes \mathcal{C} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= \{X_1, xX_1, \dots, x^{t_1-1}X_1\} & Z_2 &= \{X_2, xX_2, \dots, x^{t_2-1}X_2\} \\ Z_3 &= \{X_3, xX_3, \dots, x^{t_3-1}X_3\} & Z_4 &= \{X_4, xX_4, \dots, x^{t_4-1}X_4\} \\ Z_5 &= \{X_5, xX_5, \dots, x^{t_5-1}X_5\} & Z_6 &= \{X_6, xX_6, \dots, x^{t_6-1}X_6\} \\ Z_7 &= \{X_7, xX_7, \dots, x^{t_7-1}X_7\} & Z_8 &= \{X_8, xX_8, \dots, x^{t_8-1}X_8\} \end{aligned}$$

such that $|\mathcal{C}| = 2^{8t_1}8^{t_2}8^{t_3}8^{t_4}4^{t_5}4^{t_6}4^{t_7}2^{t_8}$.

Proof. Let $c(x) \in \mathcal{C}$, then $c(x) = \xi(x)X_1$ for some $\xi(x) \in R_m[x]$. Due to division algorithm, there exists $Q_1, R_1 \in R_m[x]$ such that $\xi(x) = Q_1\mu_1 + R_1$, where $R_1 = 0$ or $\deg(R_1) < t_1$. Since $g = \gcd(\xi_1g_1, \xi_2g_2, \dots, \xi_\ell g_\ell, x^m - 1)$ so for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, there exists $b_{i1} \in R_m[x]$ such that $\xi_i g_i = b_{i1}g$ and therefore $\xi_i g_i \mu_1 = b_{i1}g\mu_1 = 0$. Hence

$$c(x) = \xi(x)X_1 = Q_1\mu_1 X_1 + R_1 X_1 = Q_1 X_2 + R_1 X_1 \quad (1)$$

Since $\deg(R_1) < t_1$, so $R_1 X_1 \in \text{span}(Z_1)$.

Again apply division algorithm, to obtain $Q_2, R_2 \in R_m[x]$ such that $Q_1(x) = Q_2\mu_2 + R_2$, where $R_2 = 0$ or $\deg(R_2) < t_2$. Since $\rho_1 = \gcd(k_1\mu_1, k_2\mu_1, \dots, k_\ell\mu_1, x^m - 1)$ so for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, some $b_{i2} \in R_m[x]$ such that $k_i\mu_1 = b_{i2}\rho_1$ and therefore $k_i\mu_1\mu_2 = b_{i2}\rho_1\mu_2 = 0$. Then (1) becomes,

$$c(x) = Q_1 X_2 + R_1 X_1 = Q_2 X_3 + R_2 X_2 + R_1 X_1 \quad (2)$$

Also $\deg(R_2) < t_2$, therefore $R_2 X_2 \in \text{span}(Z_2)$.

Following the same procedure, to obtain $Q_3, R_3 \in R_m[x]$ such that $Q_2(x) = Q_3\mu_3 + R_3$, where $R_3 = 0$ or $\deg(R_3) < t_3$. Since $\rho_2 = \gcd(p_1\mu_1\mu_2, p_2\mu_1\mu_2, \dots, p_\ell\mu_1\mu_2, x^m - 1)$ thus again an element $b_{i3} \in R_m[x]$ such that $p_i\mu_1\mu_2 = b_{i3}\rho_2$ for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and hence $p_i\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3 = b_{i3}\rho_2\mu_3 = 0$. Using (2), to obtain $c(x) = Q_2 X_3 + R_2 X_2 + R_1 X_1 = Q_3 X_4 + R_3 X_3 + R_2 X_2 + R_1 X_1$ (3)

Here $\deg(R_3) < t_3$ thus $R_3 X_3 \in \text{span}(Z_3)$.

Continuing the similar reasoning, to obtain $Q_4, R_4 \in R_m[x]$ such that $Q_3(x) = Q_4\mu_4 + R_4$, where $R_4 = 0$ or $\deg(R_4) < t_4$ and (3) is expressed as

$$c(x) = Q_3 X_4 + R_3 X_3 + R_2 X_2 + R_1 X_1 = Q_4 X_5 + Q_4 X_4 + R_3 X_3 + R_2 X_2 + R_1 X_1 \quad (4)$$

where $\deg(R_4) < t_4$ and $R_4 X_4 \in \text{span}(Z_4)$.

Then $Q_5, R_5 \in R_m[x]$ such that $Q_4(x) = Q_5\mu_5 + R_5$, where $R_5 = 0$ or $\deg(R_5) < t_5$ and by (4), $c(x) = Q_4 X_5 + Q_4 X_4 + R_3 X_3 + R_2 X_2 + R_1 X_1 = Q_5 X_6 + R_5 X_5 + R_4 X_4 + R_3 X_3 + R_2 X_2 + R_1 X_1$ (5)

where $\deg(R_5) < t_5$ and $R_5 X_5 \in \text{span}(Z_5)$.

Further $Q_6, R_6 \in R_m[x]$ such that $Q_5(x) = Q_6\mu_6 + R_6$, where $R_6 = 0$ or $\deg(R_6) < t_6$ and by (5) $c(x) = Q_5 X_6 + R_5 X_5 + R_4 X_4 + R_3 X_3 + R_2 X_2 + R_1 X_1 = Q_6 X_7 + R_6 X_6 + R_5 X_5 + R_4 X_4 + R_3 X_3 + R_2 X_2 + R_1 X_1$ (6)

where $\deg(R_6) < t_6$ and $R_6 X_6 \in \text{span}(Z_6)$.

Again $Q_7, R_7 \in R_m[x]$ such that $Q_6(x) = Q_7\mu_7 + R_7$, where $R_7 = 0$ or $\deg(R_7) < t_7$ and by (6) $c(x) = Q_6 X_7 + R_6 X_6 + R_5 X_5 + R_4 X_4 + R_3 X_3 + R_2 X_2 + R_1 X_1 = Q_7 X_8 + R_7 X_7 + R_6 X_6 + R_5 X_5 + R_4 X_4 + R_3 X_3 + R_2 X_2 + R_1 X_1$ (7)

where $\deg(R_7) < t_7$ and $R_7 X_7 \in \text{span}(Z_7)$.

Finally $Q_8, R_8 \in R_m[x]$ such that $Q_7(x) = Q_8\mu_8 + R_8$, where $R_8 = 0$ or $\deg(R_8) < t_8$ and by (7) $c(x) = Q_7 X_8 + R_7 X_7 + R_6 X_6 + R_5 X_5 + R_4 X_4 + R_3 X_3 + R_2 X_2 + R_1 X_1 = R_8 X_8 + R_7 X_7 + R_6 X_6 + R_5 X_5 + R_4 X_4 + R_3 X_3 + R_2 X_2 + R_1 X_1$

Also, $\deg(R_8) < t_8$ hence $R_8 X_8 \in \text{span}(Z_8)$.

Thus we have $c(x) = R_8 X_8 + R_7 X_7 + R_6 X_6 + R_5 X_5 + R_4 X_4 + R_3 X_3 + R_2 X_2 + R_1 X_1$ in the span of $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3 \cup Z_4 \cup Z_5 \cup Z_6 \cup Z_7 \cup Z_8$. Hence $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3 \cup Z_4 \cup Z_5 \cup Z_6 \cup Z_7 \cup Z_8$ spans \mathcal{C} .

Now assume that $e(x) = (e_1(x), e_2(x), \dots, e_\ell(x)) \in \text{span}(Z_1) \cap \text{span}(Z_2)$. Also suppose that there exists i such that $\xi_i g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i$ does not divide $x^m - 1$. Since $e(x) \in$

$\text{span}(Z_2)$, so $e_i(x) = (uk_i\mu + vp_i\mu + uvq_i\mu + wr_i\mu + uws_i\mu + vwy_i\mu + uvez_i\mu)M_1$ where $M_1 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1x + \alpha_2x^2 + \dots + \alpha_{t_2-1}x^{t_2-1} \in R_m[x]$. Thus, we obtain $uvwe_i(x) = 0$.

Again $e(x) \in \text{span}(Z_1)$, thus $e_i(x) = (\xi_i g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i)M_2$ where $M_2 = \beta_0 + \beta_1x + \dots + \beta_{t_1-1}x^{t_1-1} \in R_m[x]$. Since $uvwe_i(x) = 0$ so $uvw(\xi_i g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i)M_2 = uvwf_i g_i M_2 = 0$. Therefore each β_j is non-unit element of R .

Moreover

$e_i(x) = (uk_i\mu_1 + vp_i\mu_1 + uvq_i\mu_1 + wr_i\mu_1 + uws_i\mu_1 + vwy_i\mu_1 + uvez_i\mu_1)M_1 = (\xi_i g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i)M_2$, so $uv\xi_i g_i M_2 + uvwri M_2 = uvwri \mu_1 M_1$,
 $uw\xi_i g_i M_2 + uvwp_i M_2 = uvwp_i \mu_1 M_1$ and $vw\xi_i g_i M_2 + uvwk_i M_2 = uvwk_i \mu_1 M_1$ and hence at least one α_j must be a unit in R .

Further, $(\xi_i g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i)M_2 = (uk_i\mu_1 + vp_i\mu_1 + uvq_i\mu_1 + wr_i\mu_1 + uws_i\mu_1 + vwy_i\mu_1 + uvez_i\mu_1)M_1$ which implies $(\xi_i g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i)(M_2 + \mu_1 M_1) = 0$.

However, $(\xi_i g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i)$ does not divide $x^m - 1$ and $\deg(M_2 + \mu_1 M_1) < m$, therefore $M_2 + \mu_1 M_1 = 0$ which is possible only when M_2 and M_1 both are zero elements of $R_m[x]$. Therefore $\text{span}(Z_1) \cap \text{span}(Z_2) = 0$. On similar lines it can be concluded that $\text{span}(Z_i) \cap \text{span}(Z_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq 8$. Hence Z is linearly independent and so forms a minimal generating set for \mathcal{C} .

Relaxing the condition and assuming that $(\xi_i g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i)$ divides $x^m - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, in next theorem, the minimal generating set for a free 1-generator ℓ -QC code of length $n = m\ell$ over R are represented.

Consider \mathcal{C} to be a 1-generator ℓ -QC code of length $n = m\ell$ over R generated by $X = (\xi_1 g_1 + uk_1 + vp_1 + uvq_1 + wr_1 + uws_1 + vwy_1 + uvwz_1, \dots, \xi_\ell g_\ell + uk_\ell + vp_\ell + uvq_\ell + wr_\ell + uws_\ell + vwy_\ell + uvwz_\ell)$ where $\xi_i, g_i, k_i, p_i, q_i, r_i, s_i, y_i, z_i \in F_2[x]$, g_i divides $x^m - 1$ and

$\xi_i(g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i)$ divides $x^m - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$,
 $g + uk + vp + uvq + wr + uws + vwy + uvwz = \gcd(X, x^m - 1)$ over R with $\deg(g) = t$ and $g(x)h(x) = x^m - 1$.

Theorem 3.3C *is a free R -module having minimal spanning set $X_1 = \{X, xX, \dots, x^{m-t-1}X\}$ and $|\mathcal{C}| = 2^{8(m-t-1)}$.*

Proof. Since $\gcd(X, x^m - 1) = (g + uk + vp + uvq + wr + uws + vwy + uvwz)$, so there exists $(h + uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz')$ in $R_m[x]$ such that $(g + uk + vp + uvq + wr + uws + vwy + uvwz)(h + uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz') = x^m - 1$ which further implies $(\xi_i g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i)(h + uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz') = 0$ in $R_m[x]$. Also $\mu(g + uk + vp + uvq + wr + uws + vwy + uvwz)\mu(h + uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz') = gh = 0$ in $F_2[x]/\langle x^m - 1 \rangle$.

Thus $(uk_i h + vp_i h + uvq_i h + wr_i h + uws_i h + vwy_i h + uvwz_i h) + (\xi_i g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i)(uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz') = 0$ and hence $(uk_i h + vp_i h + uvq_i h + wr_i h + uws_i h + vwy_i h + uvwz_i h) = (\xi_i g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i)(uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz')$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$.

Let $c(x) \in \mathcal{C}$, then $c(x) = \xi(x)X$ for some $\xi(x) \in R_m[x]$. Use division algorithm to obtain $Q_1, R_1 \in R_m[x]$ such that $\xi(x) = Q_1 h + R_1$ where $R_1 = 0$ or $\deg R_1 < m - t$. Then

$$c(x) = \xi(x)X = (Q_1(uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz') + R_1)(\xi_1g_1 + uk_1 + vp_1 + uvq_1 + wr_1 + uws_1 + vwy_1 + uvwz_1, \dots, \xi_\ell g_\ell + uk_\ell + vp_\ell + uvq_\ell + wr_\ell + uws_\ell + vwy_\ell + uvwz_\ell)$$

Hence $c(x) \in \text{span}(X_1)$. Therefore, X_1 spans \mathcal{C} .

Further, let there exists a non zero polynomial $e(x) \in R_m[x]$, with $\deg(e(x)) < m - t$ such that $e(x)X = 0$ and therefore, $e(x)(\xi_i g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Hence $\xi_i g_i \mu(e(x)) = 0$ in $F_2[x]/\langle x^m - 1 \rangle$ however, g divides $\xi_i g_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, so $g\mu(e) = 0$. Therefore, $x^m - 1$ divides $g(x)\mu(e(x))$ and so h divides $\mu(e(x))$. Hence $\deg(e(x)) > m - t$, which contradicts the assumption. Therefore X_1 is linearly independent.

In next theorem, assume \mathcal{C} to be the 1-generator ℓ -QC code of length $n = m\ell$ over R generated by $X = (\xi_1(g_1 + uk_1 + vp_1 + uvq_1 + wr_1 + uws_1 + vwy_1 + uvwz_1), \dots, \xi_\ell(g_\ell + uk_\ell + vp_\ell + uvq_\ell + wr_\ell + uws_\ell + vwy_\ell + uvwz_\ell))$, $g_i, k_i, p_i, q_i, r_i, s_i, y_i, z_i \in F_2[x]$, $\xi_i \in R[x]$ and g_i divides $(x^m - 1)$. Also consider $(g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i)$ divides $x^m - 1$ and $\gcd(\xi_i, \frac{x^m - 1}{g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i}) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$.

Theorem 3.4 If $g + uk + vp + uvq + wr + uws + vwy + uvwz = \gcd(g_1 + uk_1 + vp_1 + uvq_1 + wr_1 + uws_1 + vwy_1 + uvwz_1, \dots, g_\ell + uk_\ell + vp_\ell + uvq_\ell + wr_\ell + uws_\ell + vwy_\ell + uvwz_\ell)$ with $\deg(g + uk + vp + uvq + wr + uws + vwy + uvwz) = t$, then \mathcal{C} is free module with basis $Z = \{X, xX, \dots, x^{m-t-1}X\}$ and $|\mathcal{C}| = 2^{8(m-t)}$. Further, $d_H(\mathcal{C}) \geq \min_{i=1,2,\dots,\ell} \{\alpha_i + 1\}$ where α_i is the number of consecutive powers of the m^{th} roots of unity satisfying $g_i(x)$.

Proof. Let

$$h'_i + uk'_i + vp'_i + uvq'_i + wr'_i + uws'_i + vwy'_i + uvwz'_i = \frac{x^m - 1}{g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i} \quad \text{and}$$

$$h' + uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz' = \text{lcm}(h'_1 + uk'_1 + vp'_1 + uvq'_1 + wr'_1 + uws'_1 + vwy'_1 + uvwz'_1, \dots, h'_\ell + uk'_\ell + vp'_\ell + uvq'_\ell + wr'_\ell + uws'_\ell + vwy'_\ell + uvwz'_\ell) \quad . \quad \text{Then}$$

$$h' + uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz' = \frac{x^m - 1}{g + uk + vp + uvq + wr + uws + vwy + uvwz}.$$

Let $c(x) \in \mathcal{C}$, then $c(x) = \xi(x)X$ for some $\xi(x) \in R_m[x]$, so there exists $Q_1, R_1 \in R_m[x]$ such that $\xi(x) = Q_1 h' + R_1$ where $R_1 = 0$ or $\deg R_1 < \deg h'$. Thus, $c(x) = \xi(x)X = (Q_1(uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz') + R_1)(\xi_1(g_1 + uk_1 + vp_1 + uvq_1 + wr_1 + uws_1 + vwy_1 + uvwz_1), \dots, \xi_\ell(g_\ell + uk_\ell + vp_\ell + uvq_\ell + wr_\ell + uws_\ell + vwy_\ell + uvwz_\ell))$

Hence $c(x) \in \text{span}(X_1)$. Therefore, X_1 spans \mathcal{C} .

Assume $\xi(x)X = 0$ for some non-zero element $R_m[x]$ with $\deg(\xi(x)) < m - t$. Thus, $\xi(x)\xi_i(x)(g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and so $x^m - 1$ divides $\xi(x)\xi_i(x)(g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Since $\gcd(\xi_i(x), h'_i + uk'_i + vp'_i + uvq'_i + wr'_i + uws'_i + vwy'_i + uvwz'_i) = 1$. So $h'_i + uk'_i + vp'_i + uvq'_i + wr'_i + uws'_i + vwy'_i + uvwz'_i$ divides $\xi(x)$ and hence $h' + uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz'$ divides $\xi(x)$. Therefore, $\deg(\xi(x)) > \deg(h' + uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz') = m - t$ which contradicts the assumption. Thus, Z is linearly independent.

Further, let $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_\ell)$ be any non zero codeword of \mathcal{C} . Then, at least one c_j for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, is different from zero. This implies that $c_j \in \prod_j (\mathcal{C}) = \langle \xi_j(x)(g_j + uk_j + vp_j + uvq_j + wr_j + uws_j + vwy_j + uvwz_j) \rangle = \langle g_j + uk_j + vp_j + uvq_j + wr_j + uws_j + vwy_j + uvwz_j \rangle$. Therefore, due to theorem 2.4, the non zero weight of $c_j \in \langle g_j + uk_j + vp_j + uvq_j + wr_j + uws_j + vwy_j + uvwz_j \rangle$ is atleast $\alpha_j + 1$. Hence the result follows.

Remark If in theorem 3.4, in place of \mathcal{C} to be generated by $X = (\xi_1(g_1 + uk_1 + vp_1 + uvq_1 + wr_1 + uws_1 + vwy_1 + uvwz_1), \dots, \xi_\ell(g_\ell + uk_\ell + vp_\ell + uvq_\ell + wr_\ell + uws_\ell + vwy_\ell + uvwz_\ell))$ we consider \mathcal{C} to be generated by $X = (\xi_1(g + uk + vp + uvq + wr + uws + vwy + uvwz), \dots, \xi_\ell(g + uk + vp + uvq + wr + uws + vwy + uvwz))$ and rest assumptions remain invariant, then following the same procedure, \mathcal{C} is free module with basis $Z = \{X, xX, \dots, x^{m-t-1}X\}$ and $|\mathcal{C}| = 2^{8(m-t)}$.

Further, assume that

$$h + uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz' = \frac{x^m - 1}{g + uk + vp + uvq + wr + uws + vwy + uvwz}$$

and $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_\ell) \in \mathcal{C}$ be any code word. Then $c = \alpha X$ for some $\alpha \in R[x]$. Clearly $\deg(\alpha(x)) < m - t$. If $c_i = 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, that is, $\alpha \xi_i(g + uk + vp + uvq + wr + uws + vwy + uvwz) = 0$ then $x^m - 1$ divides $\alpha \xi_i(g + uk + vp + uvq + wr + uws + vwy + uvwz)$ which implies that $h + uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz'$ divides $\alpha \xi_i$. Since $\gcd(\xi_i, h + uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz') = 1$, so $h + uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz'$ divides α . Also, $\deg(\alpha(x)) < \deg(h + uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz')$ and $h + uk' + vp' + uvq' + wr' + uws' + vwy' + uvwz'$ being monic in $R[x]$, therefore $\alpha = 0$ and hence $c = 0$. Thus, if c is a non-zero codeword in \mathcal{C} then all of its components must be non-zero. As $\prod_i (\mathcal{C}) = \langle \xi_i(g + uk + vp + uvq + wr + uws + vwy + uvwz) \rangle = \langle g + uk + vp + uvq + wr + uws + vwy + uvwz \rangle$ and $d_H(\prod_i (c)) = d_H(\langle g \rangle)$. So if g has ' α ' number of m^{th} roots of unity, then the hamming weight of each non-zero component will be $\geq (\alpha + 1)$ and hence $d_H(\mathcal{C}) \geq \ell(\alpha + 1)$ where α is the number of consecutive powers of the m^{th} roots of unity which satisfy $g(x)$.

41-Generator Generalized Quasi-Cyclic Code (GQC)

If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell$ are integers such that $n = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_\ell$, $\lambda_i > 0$ and $R_i[x] = R[x]/\langle x^{\lambda_i} - 1 \rangle$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, then the cartesian product $\mathfrak{R} = R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_\ell$ is an $R[x]$ -module and generalized quasi-cyclic (GQC) codes of length $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ over the ring R is an $R[x]$ -submodule of \mathfrak{R} . For $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_\ell = m$, a GQC code of length $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ is a quasi-cyclic code of length $n = m\ell$ and index ℓ over R . An κ -generator GQC code over R is an $R[x]$ -submodule of \mathfrak{R} with κ -generator.

In present section we only study 1-generator GQC code of length $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ over the ring R . A 1-generator GQC code \mathcal{C} over R spanned by $P(x) = (P_1(x), P_2(x), \dots, P_\ell(x))$ where $P_i[x] \in \frac{R[x]}{\langle x^{\lambda_i} - 1 \rangle}$ is defined by $\mathcal{C} = \{\xi(x)P(x) : \xi(x) \in R[x]\}$. Next result help in obtaining minimal spanning set of 1-generator GQC over R .

Theorem 4.1 Let \mathcal{C} be a 1-generator GQC code of length $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ over R generated by $X_1 = (\xi_1 g_1 +$

$uk_1 + vp_1 + uvq_1 + wr_1 + uws_1 + vwy_1 + uvwz_1, \dots, \xi_\ell g_\ell + uk_\ell + vp_\ell + uvq_\ell + wr_\ell + uws_\ell + vwy_\ell + uvwz_\ell$ where $\xi_i, g_i, k_i, q_i, r_i, s_i, y_i, z_i \in Z_2[x]$, g_i divides $x^{\lambda_i} - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and there exists $i_0, 1 \leq i_0 \leq \ell$ such that $\xi_{i_0} g_{i_0} + uk_{i_0} + vp_{i_0} + uvq_{i_0} + wr_{i_0} + uws_{i_0} + vwy_{i_0} + uvwz_{i_0}$ does not divide $x^{\lambda_{i_0}} - 1$.

Let $\mu_{1i} = \frac{x^{\lambda_i-1}}{\gcd(\xi_i g_i, x^{\lambda_i-1})}$, $\mu = \text{lcm}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_\ell)$ with $\deg(\mu) = t_1$

$\mu_{2i} = \frac{x^{\lambda_i-1}}{\gcd(k_i \mu_1, x^{\lambda_i-1})}$, $\mu_2 = \text{lcm}(\mu_{21}, \mu_{22}, \dots, \mu_{2\ell})$ with $\deg(\mu_2) = t_2$

$\mu_{3i} = \frac{x^{\lambda_i-1}}{\gcd(p_i \mu_1 \mu_2, x^{\lambda_i-1})}$, $\mu_3 = \text{lcm}(\mu_{31}, \mu_{32}, \dots, \mu_{3\ell})$ with $\deg(\mu_3) = t_3$

$\mu_{4i} = \frac{x^{\lambda_i-1}}{\gcd(q_i \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3, x^{\lambda_i-1})}$, $\mu_4 = \text{lcm}(\mu_{41}, \mu_{42}, \dots, \mu_{4\ell})$ with $\deg(\mu_4) = t_4$

$\mu_{5i} = \frac{x^{\lambda_i-1}}{\gcd(r_i \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4, x^{\lambda_i-1})}$, $\mu_5 = \text{lcm}(\mu_{51}, \mu_{52}, \dots, \mu_{5\ell})$ with $\deg(\mu_5) = t_5$

$\mu_{6i} = \frac{x^{\lambda_i-1}}{\gcd(s_i \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4 \mu_5, x^{\lambda_i-1})}$, $\mu_6 = \text{lcm}(\mu_{61}, \mu_{62}, \dots, \mu_{6\ell})$ with $\deg(\mu_6) = t_6$

$\mu_{7i} = \frac{x^{\lambda_i-1}}{\gcd(y_i \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4 \mu_5 \mu_6, x^{\lambda_i-1})}$, $\mu_7 = \text{lcm}(\mu_{71}, \mu_{72}, \dots, \mu_{7\ell})$ with $\deg(\mu_7) = t_7$

$\mu_{8i} = \frac{x^{\lambda_i-1}}{\gcd(z_i \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4 \mu_5 \mu_6 \mu_7, x^{\lambda_i-1})}$, $\mu_8 = \text{lcm}(\mu_{81}, \mu_{82}, \dots, \mu_{8\ell})$ with $\deg(\mu_8) = t_8$ and $X_i = \mu_{i-1} X_{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq 8$.

Then the minimal spanning set of \mathcal{C} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= \{X_1, xX_1, \dots, x^{t_1-1}X_1\} Z_2 = \{X_2, xX_2, \dots, x^{t_2-1}X_2\} \\ Z_3 &= \{X_3, xX_3, \dots, x^{t_3-1}X_3\} Z_4 = \{X_4, xX_4, \dots, x^{t_4-1}X_4\} \\ Z_5 &= \{X_5, xX_5, \dots, x^{t_5-1}X_5\} Z_6 = \{X_1, xX_6, \dots, x^{t_6-1}X_6\} \\ Z_7 &= \{X_1, xX_7, \dots, x^{t_7-1}X_7\} \quad Z_8 = \{X_8, xX_8, \dots, x^{t_8-1}X_8\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Proof can be obtained on similar lines of that of theorem 3.2.

Theorem 4.2 If \mathcal{C} is a 1-generator GQC code of length $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ over R generated by $X_1 = (\xi_1(g_1 + uk_1 + vp_1 + uvq_1 + wr_1 + uws_1 + vwy_1 + uvwz_1), \dots, \xi_\ell(g_\ell + uk_\ell + vp_\ell + uvq_\ell + wr_\ell + uws_\ell + vwy_\ell + uvwz_\ell))$ where $\xi_i, g_i, k_i, q_i, r_i, s_i, y_i, z_i \in F_2[x]$, g_i divides $x^{\lambda_i} - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Also $\xi_i g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i$ divides $x^{\lambda_i} - 1$, p_i

$= \frac{x^{\lambda_i-1}}{\xi_i g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i}$ such that $\gcd(\xi_i, p_i) = 1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ if $p =$

$\text{lcm}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell)$ with $\deg(p) = m - t_1$, then \mathcal{C} is free module and minimal spanning set is $Z_1 = \{X_1, xX_1, \dots, x^{m-t_1-1}X_1\}$ and $|c| = 2^{8(m-t_1-1)}$. Also, $d(c) \geq \sum \eta_i$ where $\delta = \max X \subseteq (1, 2, \dots, l)$ and $\text{lcm} p_i$ and η_i is minimum distance of $\Pi_i(\mathcal{C})$

Further if $p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_\ell$ then $d(\mathcal{C}) \geq \sum \eta_i$

Proof. Following with the same arguments given in theorem 3.3, \mathcal{C} is free module and minimal spanning set is $Z_1 = \{X_1, xX_1, \dots, x^{m-t_1-1}X_1\}$ and $|c| = 2^{8(m-t_1-1)}$. If $c(x) = (c_1(x), c_2(x), \dots, c_l(x)) \in \mathcal{C}$. Then $c(x) = \xi(x)X$ for some $\xi(x) \in R[x]$ and if $c(x) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, implies that $x^{\lambda_i} - 1$ divides $\xi(x)(\xi_i g_i + uk_i + vp_i + uvq_i + wr_i + uws_i + vwy_i + uvwz_i)$ and hence p_i divides $\xi(x)$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Therefore $c(x) \neq 0$

if and only if p divides $\xi(x)$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. In other words when p divides $\xi(x)$, C has maximum number of non-zero co-ordinate positions and their distances is greater than minimum distance of corresponding projections. So $d(c) \geq \sum \eta_i$ for $\delta = \max X \subseteq (1, 2, \dots, \ell)$ and $\text{lcm } p_i$. Further, if $\delta = \phi$ for any $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ then $d(C) \geq \sum \eta_i$.

References

- [1] Abualrub, T., Siap, I., Cyclic coder over the rings $Z_2 + uZ_2$ and $Z_2 + uZ_2 + u^2Z_2$, Des. Codes Crypt, 42,273-287, 2007.
- [2] Aydin, N., Karadenic, S., Yildiz, B., Some new binary quasi-cyclic codes from codes over the ring $F_2 + uF_2 + vF_2 + uvF_2$, Appl. Algebra Eng. Comm. Comput., 24(5),355-367, 2013.
- [3] Cao, Y., Structural properties and enumeration of 1-generator generalized quasi-cyclic codes, Des. Codes Crypt, 60, 67-79, 2011.
- [4] Cao, Y., Generalized quasi-cyclic codes over galois rings: Structural properties and enumeration, Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. comput., 22, 219-233, 2011.
- [5] Esmaeili, M., Gulliver, T.A., Secord, N.P., Mahmoud, S.A., A link between quasi-cyclic codes and convolutional codes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, 44, 431-435, 1998.
- [6] Norton, G., Salagean, A., On the structure of linear and cyclic codes over a finite chain ring, Applicable Algebra in engineering, Communication and Computing, 6, 489-506, 2000.
- [7] Seguin, G.E., Drolet, G., The theory of 1-generator quasi-cyclic codes, preprint, 1990.
- [8] Dinh, H., Cyclic and negacyclic codes over finite chain rings, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 50, 1728-1743, 2004.
- [9] Conan, J., and Seguin, G., Structural properties and enumeration of quasi-cyclic codes, AAEECC, 4, 25-39, 1998.
- [10] Gao, J., and Kong, Q., 1-generator quasi-cyclic codes over $F_{p^m} + uF_{p^m} + \dots + u^{s-1}F_{p^m}$. J. Franklin Inst., 350, 3260-3270, 2013 .
- [11] Thomas, K., Polynomial approach to quasi-cyclic codes , Bul. Cal. math. Soc., 69, 51-59, 1977.
- [12] Kasami, T., A Gilbert-Varshamov bound for quasi-cyclic codes of rate 1/2, IEEE Trans. Inf Thoery, 20, 679-679, 1974.
- [13] Kewat, P.K., Ghosh, B., Pattanayak, S., Cyclic codes over the ring $Z_p[u, v]/\langle u^2, v^2, uv - vu \rangle$, Finite Fields Appl., 34, 161-175, 2015.
- [14] Kewat, P.K., Kushwaha, S., Cyclic codes over the ring $F_p[u, v, w] \langle u^2, v^2, w^2, uv - vw, vw - wv, uw - wu \rangle$, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 2017
- [15] Bhaintwal, M., and Wasan, S., On quasi cyclic codes over Z_q , Appl. Algebra Eng. Commn. Comput., 20, 459-480, 2009.
- [16] Aydin, N., Chaudhuri, D.R., Quasi cyclic codes over Z_4 and some new binary codes, IEEE Tran. Inf. Theory, 48, 2035-2069, 2002.
- [17] Siap, I., Abualrub, T., Yildiz, B., One generator quasi-cyclic codes over $F_2 + uZ_2$, J. Franklin Tnst., 349(1), 284-292, 2012.
- [18] Siap, I., Kulhan, N., The structure of generalized quasi-cyclic codes, Appl. Math. E-Notes, 5, 24-30, 2005.
- [19] Pattanayak, S., Singh, A.K., Quasi cyclic codes over the ring $F_p + uF_p$, Asian European Journal of

Mathematics 4, 1-9, 2015.

[20] Wu, T., Gao, J., Fu, F.W., 1-generator generalized quasi-cyclic codes over Z_4 , Crypt. Commun.

[21] Yildiz, B., karadeniz, S., Cyclic codes over $F_2 + uF_2 + vF_2 + uvF_2$ Des. Codes Crypt., 58(3), 221-234, 2011.

[22] MacWilliams, F. J., Sloane, N. J. A., The Theory Of Error Correcting Codes, North-Holland Mathematical Library, 16, 1996.