Systematic Review of Crowdsourcing Classification Methods

Kadam Rahul

PhD Student Kalinga University, Raipur

Dr. Priti Singla

PhD Guide Kalinga University, Raipur

Abstract

Crowd sourcing makes the way for taking care of a wide assortment of issues that already were impossible in the field of machine learning, permitting us to get generally ease marked information in a limited quantity of time. Be that as it may, because of the dubious nature of labellers, the information to manage is at times questionable, constraining specialists to gather data needlessly, which present new difficulties in the field. Notwithstanding these troubles, numerous uses of machine learning utilizing crowd sourced information have as of late been distributed that accomplished cutting edge brings about important issues. We have broken down these applications following a deliberate technique, ordering them into various fields of study, featuring a few of their attributes and showing the new premium in the utilization of crowd sourcing for machine learning. We additionally distinguish a few energizing examination lines dependent on the issues that stay unsolved to cultivate future exploration in this field.

Keywords-: Crowd sourcing, classification, machine learning

1. Introduction

Crowd sourcing is an increasingly popular approach for utilizing the power of the crowd in performing tasks that cannot be solved sufficiently by machines. Text annotation and image labeling are two examples of crowd sourcing tasks that are difficult to automate and human knowledge is often required. Notwithstanding, the nature of the got result from the crowd sourcing is as yet hazardous. To acquire top notch results, diverse quality control components ought to be applied to assess the distinctive sort of errands. In a past work, we present an undertaking metaphysics based model that can be used to recognize which quality system is most proper dependent on the assignment type. In this exploration, we supplement our past work by giving a classification of crowd sourcing assignments. That is, we characterize the most well-known assignment types in the crowd sourcing setting. At that point, we show how machine learning calculations can be utilized to induce consequently the sort of the crowd sourced task [1].

Crowd sourcing frameworks, for example, Amazon Mechanical Turk (AMT), Up Work and Freelancer have been recently proposed to use the insight and information on human. The overall standard of Crowd sourcing is to disseminate basic errands which are generally known as miniature assignments to a crowd of laborers to contribute their abilities to tackling the issue. For example, the crowd might be welcome to label a photograph, plan an item, create programming, examine information, perform ease of use testing or even quest for missing individuals. Crowd sourcing can assist associations with broadening their assets, improve profitability while decreasing expenses, and can likewise bring about critical efficient. It has as of late acquired expanded consideration from both scholarly community and industry [2] and was received by huge online organizations like Amazon, Google and Yahoo! In August 2014, it was accounted for by M Turk that it has in excess of 500,000 laborers from 190 nations around the planet. The M Turk-Track shows that M Turk records on normal somewhere in the range of 150,000 and 300,000 undertakings to be executed each day. It merits referencing that M Turk alludes to assignments as Human Intelligence Tasks (HITs), a term that is

discovered to be utilized reciprocally with undertakings in the writing. Regardless of the developing interest and advantages of crowd sourcing, the quality control stays a legitimate concern.

Crafted by [3] features that planning and building up a recommender framework to keep a unique quality model in crowd sourcing is required. At the end of the day, choosing the best quality control system (QCM) in light of the undertaking type. Subsequently, the idea of an undertaking assumes a crucial part in crowd sourcing quality control.

Computerized reasoning and machine learning has gotten a lot of consideration in our data innovation period, and we are noticing an ever increasing number of utilizations in our day by day lives than previously. Specifically, numerous industry chiefs have created and presented first class applications dependent on man-made consciousness [4]. These applications incorporate customized content suggestions and individual colleague administrations.

Many progressed individual collaborator benefits intensely rely upon common language understanding (NLU) for human-PC cooperation's. There are likewise numerous frameworks that depend on touch-driven associations. These days, numerous machines can collaborate with people with a specific degree of knowledge, and at the center of them are computerized reasoning calculations and regular language preparing.

There are numerous unsolved issues of normal language understanding, and the issue of consequently ordering a given regular language contribution to an appropriate undertaking or classification is one of them. Numerous analysts and industry pioneers have recommended different calculations and ways to deal with tackle the issue. These innovative work exercises later brought about different individual aide administrations like Apple's Sari's, Google Now, and Amazon's Alexa.

Notwithstanding, the individual right hand benefits that are given by the business chiefs are exclusive, and their internals and executions are not notable to the general population. As they have been ceaselessly refreshed and improved in the course of recent years, we accept that their executions are profoundly refined and muddled blends of various calculations and the best in class advancements. Along these lines, we asked ourselves the accompanying inquiry: "Is it conceivable to actualize an individual colleague framework that is sufficiently straightforward to be worked by applying a notable machine learning calculation and by and by crowd sourced information?" By addressing this inquiry, we trust that our work persuades numerous analysts and little businesses to assemble their own keen frameworks in their specific areas.

Considering the inspiration, in this exploration, we present our own execution of a programmed task characterization framework, which depends on a traditional machine learning calculation and crowd sourcing. A wide range of arrangement calculations have been proposed and acquainted with the computerized reasoning and machine learning local area. To actualize our undertaking grouping module, we utilized the help vector machine (SVM), a well known arrangement calculation [5].

Utilizing our execution, we show that the help vector machine calculation can be effectively utilized for building individual right hand administrations, specifically, task classifiers for cell phones. This errand classifier can take a characteristic language text enter and arrange the info text into an inferred task classification among numerous predefined undertakings. Along these lines, it can comprehend people's normal language order and execute the proposed task as needs be for the benefit of the client.

Despite the fact that Apple, Google, and Amazon are not unveiling the inward engineering or calculations that were utilized to actualize their very own associate administrations [6], it is accepted that they are utilizing a lot of information that they have gathered from different sources to execute their frameworks. To prepare

our grouping module, we likewise gathered our own preparation information, and we portray how we gathered our information through crowd sourcing.

By utilizing a lot of gathered preparing information, we research and present a connection between task arrangement exactness of our classifier and preparing information size. We confirm that the seriously preparing information we use, the better forecast exactness we can get, yet the presentation increment rate drops.

Crowd sourcing has been an incredible method to get human savvy administrations, thoughts, or substance by requesting commitments from an enormous gathering of individuals and particularly from online networks [7]. A notable online review stage, Survey Monkey, is a genuine illustration of numerous administrations that can be utilized for gathering information through crowd sourcing. Numerous individuals are currently utilizing Survey Monkey in little scopes for individual, scholastic, or mechanical reason.

Amazon.com, Inc. is additionally giving a well known and business crowd sourcing stage called Amazon Mechanical Turk (M Turk). M Turk gives a simple to-utilize framework for gathering a lot of informational collections through crowd sourcing. There have been many examination results about the information quality gathered by M Turk. Bushmaster et al. portrayed and assessed the expected commitments of M Turk to brain research and other sociologies. Royal residence et al. tended to expected worries about the nature of gathered information through M Turk by introducing new segment information about the Mechanical Turk subject populace, inspecting the qualities of M Turk comparative with other on the web and disconnected techniques for selecting subjects and contrasting the greatness of impacts got utilizing Mechanical Turk and customary subject pools [8].

We gathered our own preparation informational index utilizing M Turk to prepare our assignment arrangement motor. M Turk empowers crowd sourcing requesters to transfer their surveys. When transferred, M Turk distributes the polls to the M Turk open commercial center with the goal that numerous M Turk laborers can answer the transferred surveys and get paid by the requester.

It has been an affirmed marvel that pretty much every territory of human exercises, particularly those identified with logical investigation and mechanical applications is currently delivering and burning-through huge sizes of information. Google assessed that at regular intervals in 2010 the world created as much information as the total it produced up to 2003. This wonder has carried an incredible test to the innovative society and to the huge clients who frequently don't locate the ideal data inside a worthy time span. In crowd sourcing frameworks, clients (the two requesters and laborers) go up against the very same issue to which an attainable arrangement is exhaustive ongoing proposal. For some applications, proposal is a customized channel, used to either anticipate the intriguing quality of a thing (an expectation issue), or to recognize a bunch of things that are fascinating to a client (a top-k suggestion issue). Successful suggestion assumes a basic part in such online frameworks and advantages clients multiple, finding significant data, diminishing holding up time, and expanding profitability, to give some examples. A significant number of the main web based business stages, for example, Amazon and Netflix have just received proposal frameworks in their frameworks and showed the incredible estimation of successful suggestion [9].

Current proposal frameworks depend on two principle draws near, i.e., content separating and community sifting. As their names show, the substance sifting approach depends on the substance of the things and the clients' profiles to distinguish the best matches. Then again, the community oriented separating approach depends on the connections among the things and the relationships among the clients to draw new covered up, intriguing connections between the things and the clients. These methodologies have been showing their accomplishments in numerous applications, for the most part online web based business frameworks.

Be that as it may, with regards to suggesting assignments on crowd sourcing stages like AMT (Amazon Mechanical Turk), these customary proposal approaches don't fit well. All in all, they would not be adequately effective any longer when they are utilized for task suggestions in crowd sourcing frameworks. Lately, crowd sourcing has become a mainstream worldview for achieving undertakings by outsourcing them to online crowds of individuals. Crowd sourcing frameworks (normally worked as online stages) began from utilizing human capacities on tackling issues and achieving assignments that machines alone can't progress nicely. Crowd sourcing has various definitions [10], each stressing various viewpoints: the idea of the joint effort, the kinds of the objective issues, the inspirations of the crowd individuals, and the sorts of impetuses [11]. The definition in is succinct and mainstream: "crowd sourcing is an on the web, circulated critical thinking and creation model". Crowd sourcing frameworks might be sorted into four kind's dependent on if the laborers' commitments are joined and whether they are homogeneous or heterogeneous. In the remainder of this article, our conversation isn't restricted to a specific kind of crowd sourcing frameworks. Despite the fact that we use AMT (a crowd handling framework) for outline, the calculations we present in this article are appropriate for a crowd sourcing frameworks as long as the frameworks give task classes or the errands can be sorted [12].

In AMT, requesters post assignments with details and prerequisites; laborers select errands to chip away at as indicated by their advantage and abilities, and afterward get paid once the requesters acknowledge their finished outcomes. Regarding execution, the quantity of errands posted in AMT inside a brief timeframe can be gigantic. Therefore, the quantity of accessible undertakings as possibility to be prescribed to a laborer is additionally gigantic. Moreover, assignments in crowd sourcing frameworks like AMT are short ones (requiring a couple of moments to a couple of moments), so the existence pattern of an errand in crowd sourcing frameworks is exceptionally short. Therefore, successful suggestion of laborers and assignments in crowd sourcing markets, for example, AMT isn't effectively satisfied a result of the enormous pools of undertakings and laborers and the restriction of short life expectancy of the errands. As far as nature of finished assignments, current crowd sourcing frameworks that incorporate AMT have discovered various disadvantages. Since AMT depends on the early bird gets the worm premise, a less qualified specialist may begin chipping away at an undertaking while a superior gifted laborer may not locate the correct assignment as it could be recorded at a later page or has been now taken by a less-talented specialist. Thus, less qualified specialists may will deal with an assignment and result in bad quality fruition (at times actually acknowledged). On the off chance that unsatisfied, the requester may need to repost a similar assignment ordinarily to get a quality outcome. Continued getting of inferior quality outcomes may deter the requesters from utilizing the crowd sourcing framework later on [13] [26-31]. Paper organized as in section 2 Literature review has been described, in section 3 comparative analyses described, Section 3 talk about research gap, & finally conclusion described in section 5.

2. Literature review

This section deals with the general view of the Automated Crow sourcing Tasks Classification System. This section presents the interests and contribution of the researchers in the recent developments.

In [1] author has proposed a grouping of crowd sourcing frameworks in four sorts that emphasis in transit that different frameworks work through the crowd.

In [2] author has proposed a conventional arrangement for crowd sourcing assignments and execution measurements. Their order focused on the idea of the undertaking, nature of the crowd, and nature of the installment.

In [3] author has given a worldwide image of crowd sourcing frameworks qualities. They have examined the idea of cooperation, the sort of target issues, the need to enlist clients, the moves clients can make, how to

consolidate clients inputs, how to assess clients inputs, the level of manual exertion, the part of human clients, and framework Architecture.

In [4] author has proposed to portray crowd sourcing in its different perspectives dependent on the idea of the interaction and kind of assignments.

In [5] author has offered an order of human calculation frameworks to help recognize likenesses among various frameworks. They zeroed in on the accompanying angles: inspiration, quality control, collection, human ability, measure request, and errand demand cardinality.

In [6] author have characterized crowd sourcing frameworks as per the assistance being crowd sourced, the job of local area clients, the degree of joint effort, and the degree of administrative control frameworks.

In [7] author has given a characterization of crowd sourcing frameworks in a four-type typology as indicated by the sorts of issues being tended to.

In [8] author has just examined the principal squares of crowd sourcing.

In [9] author have built up a scientific categorization that catches the multidimensional idea of assignment intricacy to have the option to figure out which crowd sourcing framework is generally reasonable for a specific errand circumstance.

In [10] author have characterized four mainstays of crowd sourcing those are accessible in normal crowd sourcing project. These include: the crowd, the crowd source, the crowd sourced task, and the crowd sourcing framework.

In [11] author has proposed an order of assignments dependent on reviewing laborers from Crowd Flower framework to comprehend their working conduct.

In [12] author has built up a bunch of best practice suggestions on setting up a crowd sourcing undertaking to fit the idea of the crowd sourced issues.

In [13] author has talked about crowd sourcing with center around specialized and space autonomous elements of crowd sourcing frameworks. Measurements incorporate nature of coordinated effort, specialist determination, laborer appraisal and quality control, laborer inspiration, task creation and arrangement; task the board, task execution, and undertaking result conglomeration.

In [14] author portrayed Text grouping is an intermittent objective in machine learning projects and a normal undertaking in crowd sourcing stages. Mixture draws near, utilizing crowd sourcing and machine learning, work in a way that is better than either in disengagement and help to diminish crowd sourcing costs. One approach to blend crowd and machine endeavors is to have calculations feature entries from writings and feed these to the crowd for arrangement.

In [15] author present half and half crowd-machine learning classifiers: arrangement models that start with a composed portrayal of a learning objective, utilize the crowd to recommend prescient highlights and mark information, and afterward gauge these highlights utilizing machine learning to create models that are precise and utilize human-justifiable highlights. These half and half classifiers empower quick prototyping of machine learning models that can enhance both calculation execution and human judgment, and achieve errands where robotized highlight extraction isn't yet practical.

In [16] author presents prepared a classifier to segregate between references that depict RCTs and those that don't. We at that point embraced a straightforward technique of consequently barring references considered probably not going to be RCTs by the classifier and conceding to crowd laborers in any case.

In [17] author presented that how crowd and machine classifiers can be proficiently joined to screen things that fulfill a bunch of predicates. We show that this is a common issue in numerous areas, present machine-human (cross breed) calculations that screen things effectively and gauge the addition over human-just or machine-just screening regarding execution and cost. We further show how, given another grouping issue and a bunch of classifiers of obscure exactness for the current issue.

In [18] author presents explore if and under what conditions featuring chosen portions of the content can (or can't) improve grouping cost and additionally precision, and when all is said in done what it means for the interaction and result of the human knowledge undertakings. We study this through a progression of crowd sourcing tests running over various datasets and with task plans forcing diverse psychological requests. Our discoveries recommend that featuring is powerful in diminishing arrangement exertion yet doesn't improve precision - and truth be told, bad quality featuring can diminish it.

In [19] author examines quality with regards to crowd sourcing along a few measurements, to characterize a lot it and to comprehend the present status of the craftsmanship. In particular, this overview determines a quality model for crowd sourcing errands, distinguishes the techniques and procedures that can be utilized to survey the ascribes of the model, and the activities and methodologies that help forestall and alleviate quality issues. An investigation of how these highlights are upheld by the best in class further distinguishes open issues and illuminates an attitude toward hot future exploration headings.

In [20] author present Dynamic Filter, a versatile question handling calculation that progressively changes the request where measures are assessed dependent on perceptions while the inquiry is running. Utilizing crowd sourced information from a well known crowd sourcing stage, we show that Dynamic Filter can successfully adjust the handling request and approach the presentation of a "visionary" calculation.

3. Comparative analysis

Ref. No	Year	Author name	Paper title	methodology
21	2017	Krivosheev E, Casati F, Caforio V, Benatallah B	Crowd sourcing paper screening in systematic literature reviews	This paper explores the feasibility of crowd sourcing for facilitating the literature review process in terms of results, time and effort, and identifies which crowd sourcing strategies provide the best results based on the budget avail-able. In particular we focus on the

				screening phase of the lit-erasure review process and we contribute and assess strategies for running crowd sourcing tasks that are efficient in terms of budget and classification error. Finally, we present our findings based on experiments run on Crowd flower.
22	2018	Ramirez J et. Al.	Crowdrev: a platform for crowd-based screening of literature reviews	We present a crowd and crowd AI based framework, called Crowdrev, supporting the screening period of writing audits and accomplishing a similar quality as author order for a portion of the expense, and close in a split second. Crowdrev makes it simple for authors to use the crowd, and guarantees that no cash is squandered even notwithstanding troublesome papers or rules
23	2019	Liu Y	Fine-tune BERT for extractive summarization	In this paper, we portray BERTSUM, a straightforward variation of BERT, for

1206

				extractive rundown. Our framework is the best in class on the CNN/Daily mail dataset, beating the past best-performed framework by 1.65 on ROUGE-L.
24	2019	Ramírez J et.al.	Crowd sourced datasets to study the generation and impact of text highlighting in classification tasks	Text arrangement is an intermittent objective in machine learning projects and a common errand in crowd sourcing stages. Half breed draws near, utilizing crowd sourcing and machine learning, work in a way that is better than either in disengagement and help to lessen crowd sourcing costs. One approach to blend crowd and machine endeavours is to have calculations feature sections from writings and feed these to the crowd for arrangement. In this paper, we present a dataset to contemplate text featuring age and its effect on report order.
25	2020	Han, L et. Al.	All those wasted	In this paper, we

			hours: On task abandonment in crowd sourcing	direct the initial examination concerning the marvel of assignment relinquishment, the demonstration of labourers reviewing or starting an errand and choosing not to finish it. We follow a three-crease system which incorporates 1) researching the pervasiveness and reasons for task surrender by methods for a review over various crowd sourcing stages, 2) information driven examinations of logs gathered during an enormous scope significance judgment explore, and3) controlled analyses estimating the impact of various measurements on relinquishment. Our outcomes show that task abandonments a broadly spread marvel. Aside from representing a lot of squandered human exertion,

				this bears significant ramifications on the time-based compensations of labourers as they are not re-warded for errands that they don't finish. We likewise show how task surrender may have solid ramifications on the utilization of gathered information (for instance, on the assessment of IR frameworks).

4. Research Gap

In this part we momentarily audit momentum research Gap trotted on the two fundamental proposal draws near: (1) the substance sifting approach and (2) the cooperative separating approach. The substance sifting approach prescribes things to a client dependent on the portrayal of the things and the profile of the client's advantages. A profile is made for every client and for every item/thing. The client profile can be basically an assortment of the client's recorded evaluations on bought things. The item profile is a bunch of catchphrases addressing the item. Similitudes between client profiles and item profiles are registered. Items with high similitudes will be prescribed to the relating clients. Clearly, the methodology doesn't have cold beginning issue. The issues this methodology may confront incorporate the accompanying: (1) a few things may not be handily depicted utilizing content watchwords; (2) particular things may have similar arrangement of highlights portrayed by similar catchphrases; (3) profile data isn't generally accessible; and (4) horrible showing adaptability.

The communitarian sifting approach is likely the best and famous methodology utilized in suggestion frameworks. Contrasting with content sifting, the shared separating approach depends just on the clients past conduct. This methodology recognizes concealed client items connections by breaking down the connections among clients and the relationships among items. One exceptional bit of leeway of this overall methodology is that it typically creates pretty exact outcomes in light of the fact that the learned client item connections verifiably fuse numerous unpretentious angles that are difficult to be unequivocally profiled. The methodology famously experiences the virus start issue since it depends on gathered recorded data that new clients/items don't yet have. One more similarly amazing disadvantage of this methodology at the current status is its high runtime intricacy, which is ordinarily polynomial w.r.t. both the quantity of clients and the quantity of things, which both can be tremendous in future crowd sourcing frameworks. Consequently, on a

basic level this alluring methodology won't bring about ongoing suggestion which is a lot of required by future, extremely enormous scope crowd sourcing frameworks. The methodology has developed into two unique techniques as follows.

The client based community oriented sifting technique prescribes to a client the items that have just been loved by similarly invested friends of the client. It comprises of two stages. Initial, a client's chronicled data is utilized to distinguish a neighbourhood of individuals who in the past have displayed comparable conduct, e.g., bought comparative items. Second, the recognized area is broke down to sort out new items that might be enjoyed by the client.

The thing based synergistic sifting technique prescribes things like the things that a client previously preferred. This methodology comprises of two stages. The primary stage is known as the model stage that figures the likeness between each pair of things, and might be executed disconnected. The subsequent stage consolidates and looks at the figured likeness scores to decide the most comparative things to the things that have been bought in the past by the client.

Classification of errands is an essential for utilizations of our proposed calculations. The writing has a wide range of ways to deal with accomplish good order levels. The most recent methodology is to utilize a book order framework to make "channels which permit narrowing down the list items dependent on predefined channel classes". Besides, the content arrangement framework can be improved with the assistance of human (online crowd) annotators required into the preparation interaction where distinctive learning strategies can be joined, for example, outfits learning and dynamic learning. Classifications as a powerful intercession component presented in our calculations are principally to support the proposal execution and the nature of finished errands. Different specialists have revealed that the labourer's viewpoint is a significant factor to be considered in crowd sourcing frameworks. We may by and large consider the top-task proposal as calculation of a limited 1-to-K planning from labourers to errands, and, in converse, the top-specialist suggestion as calculation of a confined 1-to-K planning from assignments to labourers. To productively figure these mappings, we plan comparable information designs to encourage the calculation cycle.

5. Conclusion

In this paper work, we present the study on various Performance analysis techniques of Automated Crow sourcing Tasks Classification System. Various literatures are presented related to crowd sourcing. The ascent of the Web, advanced cells, and moderate remote sensors implied that associations intrigued by crowd sourcing could without much of a stretch contact a worldwide pool of assets, abilities, and innovativeness, promptly accessible at practically any time at the snap of a catch. As such, when we talk about crowd sourcing, we ordinarily consider situations including bunches that are significant degrees bigger than in the old style cooperation situations that have been dependent upon hierarchical administration and aggregate insight contemplates. The size of the activity has suggestions for the manners by which singular commitments are arranged, allocated, facilitated, and assessed; an 'ordinary' crowd sourcing task would include work that can be stalled into numerous more modest pieces that can be finished in similar time by various gatherings; and assessed to a huge degree naturally.

References

[1] J. Howe, Crowd sourcing: Why the Power of the Crowd Is Driving the Future of Business. New York, NY: Crown Business, 2008.

[2] J. R. Corney, C. Torres-Sánchez, A. P. Jagadeesan, and W. C. Regli, "Outsourcing labour to the cloud," Int. J. Innov. Sustain. Dev., vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 294–313, 2009.

[3] A. Doan, R. Ramakrishnan, and A. Y. Halevy, "Crowd sourcing systems on the World-Wide Web," Commun. ACM, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 86–96, 2011.

[4] E. Schenk and C. Guittard, "Towards a characterization of crowd sourcing practices," J. Innov. Econ., vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 93–107, 2011.

[5] A. Quinn and B. Bederson, "Human computation: a survey and taxonomy of a growing field," in CHI '11 Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 2011, pp. 1403–1412.

[6] G. D. Saxton, O. Oh, and R. Kishore, "Rules of Crowd sourcing: Models, Issues, and Systems of Control," Inf. Syst. Manag., vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 2–20, Jan. 2013.

[7] D. C. Brabham, Crowd sourcing. The MIT Press., 2013.

[8] J. Pedersen, D. Kocsis, A. Tripathi, A. Tarrell, A. Weerakoon, N. Tahmasbi, J. Xiong, W. Deng, O. Oh, and G. J. De Vreede, "Conceptual foundations of crowd sourcing: A review of IS research," Proc. 46th Hawaii Int. Conf. Syst. Sci. (HICSS), January,, pp. 579–588, 2013.

[9] R. Nakatsu, E. Grossman, and C. Iacovou, "A taxonomy of crowd sourcing based on task complexity," J. Inf. Sci., vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 823–834, 2014.

[10] M. Hosseini, K. Phalp, J. Taylor, and R. Ali, "The four pillars of crowd sourcing: A reference model," 2014 IEEE Eighth Int. Conf. Res. Challenges Inf. Sci. (RCIS), Marrakech, May 28-30,, pp. 1–12, 2014.

[11] U. Gadiraju, R. Kawase, and S. Dietze, "A Taxonomy of Microtasks on the Web," in Proceedings of the 25th ACM conference on Hypertext and social media, 2014, pp. 218–223.

[12] M. Hosseini, A. Shahri, K. Phalp, and R. Ali, "Recommendations on Adapting Crowd sourcing to Problem Types," IEEE Ninth Int. Conf. Res. Challenges Inf. Sci. 15), 13-15 May, Athens, Greece., 2015.

[13] N. Luz, N. Silva, and P. Novais, "A survey of task-oriented crowd sourcing," Artif. Intell. Rev., vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 187–213, Aug. 2015.

[14] Ramírez, J., Baez, M., Casati, F. et al. Crowdsourced dataset to study the generation and impact of text highlighting in classification tasks. BMC Res Notes 12, 820 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-019-4858-z

[15] Cheng J, Bernstein MS. Flock: hybrid crowd-machine learning classifiers. In: CSCW 2015. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1145/2675133.2675214.

[16] Wallace BC, Noel-Storr A, Marshall IJ, Cohen AM, Smalheiser NR, Thomas J. Identifying reports of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) via a hybrid machine learning and crowd sourcing approach. JAMIA. 2017.

[17] Krivosheev E, Casati F, Baez M, Benatallah B. Combining crowd and machines for multi-predicate item screening. In: PACMHCI, 2(CSCW). 2018. ISSN 2573-0142.

[18] Ramírez J, Baez M, Casati F, Benatallah B. Understanding the impact of text highlighting in crowd sourcing tasks. In: Proceedings of the seventh AAAI conference on human computation and crowd sourcing, HCOMP 2019, vol. 7. AAAI. 2019. p. 144–52.

[19] Daniel F, Kucherbaev P, Cappiello C, Benatallah B, Allahbakhsh M. Quality control in crowd sourcing: a survey of quality attributes, assessment techniques, and assurance actions. ACM Comput Surv. 2018;51(1):1–7.

[20]   Lan D, Reed K, Shin A, Trushkowsky B. Dynamic filter: adaptive query processing with the crowd. In: Proceedings of the fifth AAAI conference on human computation and crowd sourcing, HCOMP 2017, 23–26 October 2017, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada. 2017. p. 118–27.

[21]   Krivosheev E, Casati F, Caforio V, Benatallah B. Crowd sourcing paper screening in systematic literature reviews. In: Proceedings of the fifth AAAI conference on human computation and crowd sourcing, HCOMP 2017, 23–26 October 2017, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada. 2017. p. 108–17

[22]   Ramirez J, Krivosheev E, Baez M, Casati F, Benatallah B. Crowdrev: a platform for crowd-based screening of literature reviews. In: Collective intelligence, CI 2018. 2018

[23]   Liu Y. Fine-tune BERT for extractive summarization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.10318. 2019.

[24]   Ramírez J, Baez M, Casati F, Benatallah B. Crowdsourced datasets to study the generation and impact of text highlighting in classification tasks. Figshare, 2019. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.9917162.v4

[25]   Han, L.; Roitero, K.; Gadiraju, U.; Sarasua,C.; Checco, A.; Maddalena, E.; and Demartini, G. 2019. Allthose wasted hours: On task abandonment in crowdsourcing.InWSDM 2019.

[26]   Mahajan, H.B., Badarla, A. & Junnarkar, A.A. (2020). CL-IoT: cross-layer Internet of Things protocol for intelligent manufacturing of smart farming. J Ambient Intell Human Comput. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-020-02502-0.

[27]   Mahajan, H.B., & Badarla, A. (2018). Application of Internet of Things for Smart Precision Farming: Solutions and Challenges. International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, Vol. Dec. 2018, PP. 37-45.

[28]   Mahajan, H.B., & Badarla, A. (2019). Experimental Analysis of Recent Clustering Algorithms for Wireless Sensor Network: Application of IoT based Smart Precision Farming. Jour of Adv Research in Dynamical & Control Systems, Vol. 11, No. 9. 10.5373/JARDCS/V11I9/20193162.

[29]   Mahajan, H.B., & Badarla, A. (2020). Detecting HTTP Vulnerabilities in IoT-based Precision Farming Connected with Cloud Environment using Artificial Intelligence. International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, Vol. 29, No. 3, pp. 214 - 226.

[30]   Mikhail, A., Kamil, I. A., & Mahajan, H. (2017). Increasing SCADA System Availability by Fault Tolerance Techniques. 2017 International Conference on Computing, Communication, Control and Automation (ICCUBEA). doi:10.1109/iccubea.2017.8463911

[31]   Mikhail, A., Kareem, H. H., & Mahajan, H. (2017). Fault Tolerance to Balance for Messaging Layers in Communication Society. 2017 International Conference on Computing, Communication, Control and Automation (ICCUBEA). doi:10.1109/iccubea.2017.8463871