

On Algebraic Structures on κ -Intuitionistic Q –Fuzzy Quotient Group

^{*1}Premkumar M, ²Swaminathan S, ³Thangamani P and ⁴Prasanna A

^{*1}Research Scholar, PG and Research Department of Mathematics,
Jamal Mohamed College (Autonomous), (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University),
Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Kongunadu College of
Engineering and Technology, Tiruchirappalli-620020, Tamilnadu, India.

²Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, K. Ramakrishnan College of
Engineering Tiruchirappalli, Tamilnadu, India.

³Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Kongunadu College of
Engineering and Technology, Tiruchirappalli-620020, Tamilnadu, India.

⁴PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Jamal Mohamed College
(Autonomous), Tiruchirappalli-620020, Tamilnadu, India.

Email: ¹mprem.maths3033@gmail.com, ²nathan.swami013@gmail.com,
³varshinimaths@gmail.com, ⁴apj_jmc@yahoo.co.in,

Abstract

In this paper, we introduced of κ – Intuitionistic Q –fuzzy quotient group, κ – Intuitionistic Q –fuzzy cosets of an Intuitionistic Q –fuzzy normal subgroup are defined and discussed. A homomorphism from a given group onto the set of all κ – intuitionistic Q –fuzzy quotient group is established. Some related results has been derived.

Keywords: Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set (IFS); Intuitionistic Fuzzy Subset (IFSb); Intuitionistic

Fuzzy Subgroup (IFSG) ; Intuitionistic Fuzzy Normal Subgroup (IFNSG); κ – Intuitionistic Q – fuzzy Set (κ – IQFS); κ – Intuitionistic Q –fuzzy subset κ – IQFSb; κ – Intuitionistic Q – fuzzy subgroup (κ – IQFSG); κ – intuitionistic Q – fuzzy normal subgroup (κ – IQFNSG); κ – Intuitionistic Q – fuzzy coset, κ – intuitionistic Q –fuzzy quotient group.

1. Introduction

The fundamental concept of fuzzy sets was initiated by Zadeh L[21] in 1965. Since then these ideas have been applied to other algebraic structures such as groups, rings, modules, vector spaces and topologies. In 1986, introduced the new notation on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets by Atanassov K T[1]. Barbhuiya S R[4],

introduced the concept of t - Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sub algebra of BG-Algebras in 2015.

Atanassov K T[2], introduced the new notation on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Versions of L. Zadeh's extension principle in 2006. In 1994, developed the concept of new Operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets by Atanassov K T[3]. Szmidt E and Kacprzyk J[19], introduced the concept of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets in group decision making in 1996. In 2015, developed the concept of Doubt Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideals in BCK/BCI-Algebras by TriptiBej and MadhumangalPal[20]. Bavanari Satyanarayana, BinduMadhavi and Durga Prasad R[5], introduced the new notation On Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideals in BCK-Algebras in 2010. Sharma P K[15], developed the concept of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Module over intuitionistic Fuzzy Ring in 2012. In 2012, initiated by the concept of t - Intuitionistic Fuzzy Quotient Group by Sharma P K[14]. MuhammdAkram[9], introduced the new notation of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Closed Ideals in BCI-algebras in 2006. In 2012, initiated by the concept of t - Intuitionistic Fuzzy Quotient modules by Sharma P K[13]. Sharma P K[10], developed the notation of (α, β) – Cut of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Groups in 2011. In 2009, introduced the new concept of A new structure and Construction of Q-fuzzy Groups by Solairaju A and Nagarajan R[16]. Bodin Kesorn, Khanrudee Maimun, Watchara Ratbandan and Aiyared Iampan[6], introduced the concept of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets in Up-Algebras in 2015. In 2015, develop the concept of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Filters On- β algebras by Sujatha K Chandramouleeswaran M and Muralikrishna P[18]. Sharma P K[12] initiated by the concept On the direct product of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Groups in 2012. In 2014, introduced the concept of An Overview On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets by Ejegwa P A, Akowe SO, Otene P M and Ikyule J M[8]. Sharma P K[11], develop the notation of Homomorphism of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Groups in 2011. In 2001, initiated by the concept of An application of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets in Medical diagnosis by Supriya K. De, Biswas R and Roy A R[17]. Dengfeng Li, Cheng Chutian[7], introduced the concept of New Similarly Measure of IFS and Application of Pattern recognition in 2002.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of κ -Intuitionistic Q – Fuzzy cosets of an intuitionistic Q – fuzzy normal subgroup and κ -Intuitionistic Q – Fuzzy Quotient Group and discuss some of their properties.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we site the fundamental definitions that will be used in the sequel.

2.1 Definition [Zadeh L A (20)]

Let X be a non-empty set. A FSB of the set X is a mapping $\mu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

2.2. Definition [Atanassov K T(1)]

Let X be a fixed non-empty set. An IFS A of X is an object of the following form $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$, where $\mu_A: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\nu_A: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ define the degree of membership and degree of non-

membership of the element $x \in X$ respectively and for any $x \in X$, we have $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$.

2.2.1 Remark

- (i) When $\mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) = 1$, i.e., when $\nu_A(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x) = \mu_A^c(x)$. Then A is called *FS*.
- (ii) We use the notation $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ to denote the *IFS* A of X .

2.3 Definition [Sharma P K (11)]

Let G be a group. An *IFSB* $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ of G is called *IFSG* of G if

- (i) $\mu_A(xy) \geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(y)$
 - (ii) $\mu_A(x^{-1}) = \mu_A(x)$
 - (iii) $\nu_A(xy) \leq \nu_A(x) \vee \nu_A(y)$
 - (iv) $\nu_A(x^{-1}) = \nu_A(x), \forall x, y \in G$
- or Equivalently A is *IFSG* of G if and only if $\mu_A(xy^{-1}) \geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(y)$ and $\nu_A(xy) \leq \nu_A(x) \vee \nu_A(y)$.

2.4 Definition [Sharma P K (11)]

An *IFSG* $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ of a group G is said to be *IFNSG* of G if

- (i) $\mu_A(xy) = \mu_A(yx)$
- (ii) $\nu_A(xy) = \nu_A(yx)$, for all $x, y \in G$

Or Equivalently A is an *IFNSG* of a group G is normal if and only if $\mu_A(y^{-1}xy) = \mu_A(x)$ and $\nu_A(y^{-1}xy) = \nu_A(x), \forall x, y \in G$.

2.5 Definition [Sharma P K (10)]

Let A be *IFS* of a universe set X . Then (α, β) -cut of A is a crisp subset $C_{\alpha, \beta}(A)$ of the *IFS* A is given by $C_{\alpha, \beta}(A) = \{x: x \in X \text{ such that } \mu_A(x) \geq \alpha, \nu_A(x) \leq \beta\}$, where $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$.

2.6 Theorem

If A is *IFS* of a group G . Then A is *IFSG* (*IFNSG*) of G if and only if $C_{\alpha, \beta}(A)$ is a subgroup (normal) of group G , for all $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$.

2.7 Definition [Solairaju A and Nagarajan R (16)]

Let Q and G a set and a group respectively. A mapping $\mu: G \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is named $Q - FS$ in G . For any $Q - FS \mu$ in G and $t \in [0, 1]$ we define the set $U(\mu; t) = \{x \in G / \mu(x, q) \geq t, q \in Q\}$ which is named an upper cut of " μ " and may be use to the characterization of μ .

2.8 Definition [Solairaju A and Nagarajan R (16)]

A $Q - FS\mu$ is named $Q - FSG$ of G if

1. $\mu(xy, q) \geq \min\{\mu(x, q), \mu(y, q)\}$
2. $\mu(x^{-1}, q) = \mu(x, q)$
3. $\mu(x, q) = 1$, for all $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

3. On algebraic structures on κ -Intuitionistic $Q -$ Fuzzy Quotient Group

3.1 Definition

Let A be an $IQFSNG$ of a group H . Let $\kappa \in [0, 1]$ and $q \in Q$. For any $m \in H$ define an $IQFSA^\kappa_m$ of H is called κ -Intuitionistic $q -$ fuzzy Coset of A in H as follows

$$A^\kappa_m(g, q) = \{\theta_{A^\kappa_m}(g, q), \phi_{A^\kappa_m}(g, q)\},$$

where

$$\theta_{A^\kappa_m}(g, q) = \{\theta_A(gm^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\} \text{ and } \phi_{A^\kappa_m}(g, q) = \{\phi_A(gm^{-1}, q) \wedge 1 - \kappa\},$$

$$\forall m, g \in H \text{ and } q \in Q.$$

3.2 Proposition

Let S and Q be the set of all κ -Intuitionistic $q -$ fuzzy cosets of an $IQFNSGA$ in H . i.e., $S = \{A^\kappa_m : m \in H \text{ and } q \in Q\}$. Then the binary operations $\otimes$ defined on the set S as follows:
 $A^\kappa_m \otimes A^\kappa_n = A^\kappa_{mn}$, $\forall m, n \in H$ and $q \in Q$ is a well defined operation.

Proof:

Let $A^\kappa_m = A^\kappa_{m'}$ and $A^\kappa_n = A^\kappa_{n'}$, for some $m, n, m', n' \in H$ and $q \in Q$.

Let $g \in H$ and $q \in Q$ ne any element, then

$$[A^\kappa_m \otimes A^\kappa_n](g, q) = (A^\kappa_{mn})(g, q) = (\theta_{A^\kappa_{mn}}(g, q), \phi_{A^\kappa_{mn}}(g, q))$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{A^\kappa_{mn}}(g, q) &= \{\theta_A((mn)^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\} = \{\theta_A((gn^{-1})m^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\} \\ &= \theta_{A^\kappa_m}(gn^{-1}, q) = \theta_{A^\kappa_{m'}}(gn^{-1}, q) \\ &= \{\theta_A((gn^{-1})m'^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\} = \{\theta_A((gm'^{-1})n^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\} \\ &= \theta_{A^\kappa_n}(m'^{-1}g, q) = \theta_{A^\kappa_{n'}}(m'^{-1}g, q) \\ &= \{\theta_A((gm'^{-1})n'^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\} = \{\theta_{A^{n'^{-1}}}(gm'^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\} \\ &= \{\theta_A(n'^{-1}m'^{-1}, q)g \wedge \kappa\} \\ &= \{\theta_A((m'n')^{-1}g, q) \wedge \kappa\} = \{\theta_A(g(m'n')^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\} \\ &= \theta_{A^\kappa_{m'n'}}(g, q) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\phi_{A^\kappa_{mn}}(g, q) = \phi_{A^\kappa_{m'n'}}(g, q), \forall g \in H \text{ and } q \in Q.$$

Therefore $\otimes$ is well defined operation on S .

3.3 Lemma

If A is IQFNSG of a group G . Then $A^\kappa_m = A^\kappa_{m'} \Leftrightarrow Nm = Nm', \forall m, m' \in H$ and $q \in Q$. where $N = C_{\kappa, 1-\kappa}(A, q)$.

Proof:

Let $A^\kappa_m = A^\kappa_{m'}$ for $m, m' \in H$ and $q \in Q$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } A^\kappa_m(m', q) &= A^\kappa_{m'}(m', q) \\ &= (\{\theta_A(m'm'^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\}, \{\phi_A(m'm'^{-1}, q) \vee 1 - \kappa\}) \\ &= (\{\theta_A(m'm'^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\}, \{\phi_A(m'm'^{-1}, q) \vee 1 - \kappa\}) \\ &= (\{\theta_A(e, q) \wedge \kappa\}, \{\phi_A(e, q) \vee 1 - \kappa\}) \\ &= \{\kappa, 1 - \kappa\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \{\theta_A(m'm'^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\} = \kappa \text{ and } \{\phi_A(m'm'^{-1}, q) \vee 1 - \kappa\} = 1 - \kappa$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta_A(m'm'^{-1}, q) \geq \kappa \text{ and } \phi_A(m'm'^{-1}, q) \leq 1 - \kappa$$

$$\text{and so } m'm'^{-1} \in C_{\kappa, 1-\kappa}(A) = N$$

$$\Rightarrow Nm = Nm' \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

Now, we show that if $Nm = Nm'$, then $A^\kappa_m = A^\kappa_{m'}$.

Let for some $n \in H$ and $q \in Q$, $A^\kappa_m(n, q) \neq A^\kappa_{m'}(n, q)$

i. e., $(\{\theta_A(mn^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\}, \{\phi_A(mn^{-1}, q) \vee 1 - \kappa\}) \neq$

$$(\{\theta_A(m'n^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\}, \{\phi_A(m'n^{-1}, q) \vee 1 - \kappa\})$$

Suppose $\theta_A(mn^{-1}, q) < \kappa$ and $\theta_A(m'n^{-1}, q) \geq \kappa$

Therefore $\phi_A(mn^{-1}, q) \leq 1 - \kappa$ and $\phi_A(m'n^{-1}, q) \leq 1 - \kappa$

$$\Rightarrow m'n^{-1} \in C_{\kappa, 1-\kappa}(A) = N$$

$$\Rightarrow Nm'n^{-1} = N \Rightarrow Nm'n^{-1} = N \text{ (Using 1)} \Rightarrow mn^{-1} \in N$$

and so $\theta_A(mn^{-1}, q) \geq \kappa$, a contradiction,

Similarly, if $\theta_A(mn^{-1}, q) \geq \kappa$ and $\theta_A(m'n^{-1}, q) < \kappa$ also leads to

contradiction. Therefore either $\theta_A(mn^{-1}, q) \geq \kappa$ and $\theta_A(m'n^{-1}, q) \geq$

κ i. e., $\phi_A(mn^{-1}, q) \leq 1 - \kappa$ and $\phi_A(m'n^{-1}, q) \leq 1 - \kappa$

$\phi_A(m'n^{-1}, q) \leq 1 - \kappa$ or $\theta_A(mn^{-1}, q) < \kappa$ i. e., $\phi_A(mn^{-1}, q) \leq 1 -$

κ and $\phi_A(m'n^{-1}, q) \leq 1 - \kappa$.

In First Part:

$$\{\theta_A(mn^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\} = \kappa \text{ and } \{\phi_A(mn^{-1}, q) \vee 1 - \kappa\} = 1 - \kappa$$

and so $A^\kappa_m(n, q) = (\kappa, 1 - \kappa)$ and also

$$\Rightarrow \{\theta_A(m'n^{-1}, q) \vee \kappa\} = \kappa \text{ and } \{\phi_A(m'n^{-1}, q) \wedge 1 - \kappa\} = 1 - \kappa$$

and so

$$\Rightarrow A^\kappa_{m'}(n, q) = (\kappa, 1 - \kappa). \quad \text{Thus} \quad A^\kappa_m(n, q) = A^\kappa_{m'}(n, q), \forall n \in$$

H and $q \in Q$.

$$\therefore A^\kappa_m = A^\kappa_{m'}.$$

In Second Part:

$$\{\theta_A(mn^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\} = \theta_A(mn^{-1}, q) < \kappa$$

$$\text{and } \{\phi_A(mn^{-1}, q) \vee 1 - \kappa\} = 1 - \kappa$$

and also

$$\Rightarrow \{\theta_A(m'n^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\} = \theta_A(m'n, q) < \kappa$$

and $\{\emptyset_A(m'n^{-1}, q) \vee 1 - \kappa\} = 1 - \kappa$
 Now since $Nm = Nm'$,
 therefore let $m = Nm'$, where $a \in N$ and $q \in Q$.
 So that $\theta_A(a, q) \geq \kappa$ and $\emptyset_A(a, q) \leq 1 - \kappa$

$$\begin{aligned} A_m^\kappa(n, q) &= (\{\theta_A(nm'^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\}, \{\emptyset_A(nm'^{-1}, q) \vee 1 - \kappa\}) \\ &= (\theta_A(nm'^{-1}a, q), 1 - \kappa) \\ &= (\theta_A(anm'^{-1}, q), 1 - \kappa) \\ &\geq (\theta_A(a, q) \cap \theta_A(nm'^{-1}, q), 1 - \kappa) \\ &= (\theta_A(nm'^{-1}, q), 1 - \kappa) \\ &= (\{\theta_A(nm'^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\}, \{\emptyset_A(nm'^{-1}, q) \vee 1 - \kappa\}) \\ &= A_m^\kappa(n, q) \end{aligned}$$

 Thus $A_m^\kappa(n, q) \geq A_m^\kappa(n, q), \forall n \in H$ and $q \in Q$.

Similarly $A_m^\kappa(n, q) = (\{\theta_A(nm'^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\}, \{\emptyset_A(nm'^{-1}, q) \vee 1 - \kappa\})$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (\theta_A(nm'^{-1}, q), 1 - \kappa) \\ &= (\theta_A(nm'^{-1}a^{-1}, q), 1 - \kappa) \\ &\geq (\theta_A(a, q) \cap \theta_A(nm'^{-1}, q), 1 - \kappa) \\ &= (\theta_A(nm'^{-1}, q), 1 - \kappa) \\ &= (\{\theta_A(nm'^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\}, \{\emptyset_A(nm'^{-1}, q) \vee 1 - \kappa\}) \end{aligned}$$

κ

$$= A_m^\kappa(n, q)$$

 Thus $A_m^\kappa(n, q) \geq A_m^\kappa(n, q), \forall n \in H$ and $q \in Q$.
 $\therefore A_m^\kappa(n, q) = A_m^\kappa$.

3.4 Proposition

The set Q and S of all κ -Intuitionistic q -fuzzy cosets of an $IQFNSG$ A of a group H and $q \in Q$, from a group the well-defined operations $\otimes$.

Proof:

It is easy to check that the identity element of S is A_e^κ , where e is the identity element of group H and, and the inverse of an element A_m^κ is $A_{m^{-1}}^\kappa$.

3.5 Proposition

A mapping $f: G \rightarrow S$, where G is a group and S is the set of all κ -Intuitionistic q -fuzzy cosets of the $IQFNSGA$ of G defined by $f(m, q) = A_m^\kappa$, is an onto homomorphism with $\ker f = N (= C_{\kappa, 1-\kappa}(A, q))$, where $\kappa \in [0, 1]$ and $q \in Q$.

Proof:

Clearly f is an onto homomorphism

Let $m \in \ker f$ and $q \in Q$, then $f(m, q) = \text{identity element of } S = A_e^\kappa$

Therefore $A_m^\kappa = A_e^\kappa$ so $Nm = Ne = N \Rightarrow m \in N$

$\Rightarrow \ker f \subseteq N$

Conversely, let $m \in N \Rightarrow Nm = N$ so that

$$Nm g^{-1} = N g^{-1} \forall g \in G \text{ and } q \in Q.$$

If possible let $m \notin \ker f$

i. e., $A_m^\kappa \neq A_e^\kappa$ there fore there exists $g \in G$ and $q \in Q$

such that $A_m^\kappa(g, q) \neq A_e^\kappa(g, q)$.

Suppose $\theta_A(m g^{-1}, q) < \kappa$ and $\theta_A(g^{-1}, q) \geq \kappa$, i. e., $\phi_A(m g^{-1}, q) \leq 1 - \kappa$

and $\phi_A(g^{-1}, q) \leq 1 - \kappa$

Therefore $\theta_A(g^{-1}, q) \geq \kappa$ and $\phi_A(g^{-1}, q) \leq 1 - \kappa \Rightarrow g^{-1} \in$

N so $N g^{-1} = N$

$\therefore N m g^{-1} = N \Rightarrow m g^{-1} \in N$ and so $\theta_A(m g^{-1}) \geq \kappa$, a contradiction.

Similarly, $\theta_A(m g^{-1}, q) \geq \kappa$ and $\theta_A(g^{-1}, q) < \kappa$ is not possible.

$\therefore$ either $\theta_A(m g^{-1}, q) \geq \kappa$, $\theta_A(g^{-1}, q) < \kappa$ i.e., $\phi_A(m g^{-1}, q) \leq 1 - \kappa$

and $\phi_A(g^{-1}, q) \leq 1 - \kappa$ or

$\theta_A(m g^{-1}, q) < \kappa$, $\theta_A(g^{-1}, q) < \kappa$ i.e., $\phi_A(m g^{-1}, q) \leq 1 - \kappa$

and $\phi_A(g^{-1}, q) \leq 1 - \kappa$.

In First Part:

$$\{\theta_A(m g^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\} = \kappa \text{ and } \{\phi_A(m g^{-1}, q) \vee 1 - \kappa\} = 1 - \kappa$$

and so $A_m^\kappa(g, q) = (\kappa, 1 - \kappa)$

Similarly we get, $A_m^\kappa(g, q) = (\kappa, 1 - \kappa)$. Thus $A_m^\kappa(g, q) = A_e^\kappa(g, q)$.

In Second Part:

$$\{\theta_A(m g^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\} = \theta_A(m g^{-1}, q) < \kappa$$

$$\text{and } \{\phi_A(m g^{-1}, q) \vee 1 - \kappa\} = 1 - \kappa$$

$$A_m^\kappa(g, q) = (\{\theta_A(m g^{-1}, q) \wedge \kappa\}, \{\phi_A(m g^{-1}, q) \vee 1 - \kappa\})$$

$$= (\theta_A(m g^{-1}, q), 1 - \kappa)$$

$$\geq (\theta_A(m, q) \cap \theta_A(g, q), 1 - \kappa)$$

$$= (\theta_A(g, q), 1 - \kappa) [\because m \in N \text{ and } q \in Q \therefore \theta_A(m, q) \geq \kappa$$

$$\text{and } \theta_A(g, q) = \theta_A(g^{-1}, q) < \kappa]$$

$$= (\{\theta_A(e g, q) \wedge \kappa\}, \{\phi_A(e g^{-1}, q) \vee 1 - \kappa\})$$

$$= A_e^\kappa(g, q)$$

$$= (\theta_A(g^{-1}, q), 1 - \kappa)$$

$$\geq (\theta_A(m g^{-1} m^{-1}, q), 1 - \kappa)$$

$$[\text{As } A \text{ is IQFNSG of } G \text{ so } \theta_A(m g^{-1} m^{-1}, q) = \theta_A(g^{-1}, q)]$$

$$\geq (\theta_A(m g^{-1}, q) \cap \theta_A(m, q), 1 - \kappa)$$

$$= (\theta_A(m g^{-1}, q), 1 - \kappa)$$

$$= A_m^\kappa(g, q)$$

i.e., $f(m, q)$ = identity element of S and so $m \in \ker f$ and $q \in Q$.

$$N \subseteq \ker f \text{ so } \ker f = N.$$

3.6 Proposition

If $f: G \rightarrow S$, is an onto homomorphism, then $f(A, q) = B$, where A is IQFS of G and B is IQFS of S .

Proof:

Let $A_x^\kappa \in S$ be any element of S , where $x \in H$ and $q \in Q$ such that

$$f(x, q) = A_x^\kappa$$

Let A be $IQFS$ of G , then

$$\begin{aligned} f(A, q)(A^{\kappa}_x) &= \left\{ \left(\sup\{\theta_A(m, q): m \in f^{-1}(A^{\kappa}_x)\}, \inf\{\phi_A(m, q): m \in f^{-1}(A^{\kappa}_x)\} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\theta_A(e, q), \phi_A(e, q)) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \left(\sup\{\theta_A(m, q): A^{\kappa}_m = A^{\kappa}_x\}, \inf\{\phi_A(m, q): A^{\kappa}_m = A^{\kappa}_x\} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\theta_A(e, q), \phi_A(e, q)) \right\} \\ &= B(A^{\kappa}_x) \\ \text{Hence } f(A, q) &= B \end{aligned}$$

3.7 Theorem

Let A be a $IQFNSG$ of G and B be a $IQFSG$ of S , then $C_{\kappa, 1-\kappa}(B, q) = \{A^{\kappa}_e\}$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } B(A^{\kappa}_e) &= \{\theta_B(A^{\kappa}_e), \phi_B(A^{\kappa}_e)\}, \\ \text{where } \theta_B(A^{\kappa}_e) &= \sup\{\theta_A(m, q): Nm = N\} \\ &= \sup\{\theta_A(m, q): m \in N\} \\ &\geq \theta_A(a, q), \forall a \in N \text{ and } q \in Q = C_{\kappa, 1-\kappa}(A, q) \\ &\geq \kappa \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that $\phi_A(A^{\kappa}_e) \leq 1 - \kappa$.

Thus $A^{\kappa}_e \in C_{\kappa, 1-\kappa}(B, q)$

Let $A^{\kappa}_x \in C_{\kappa, 1-\kappa}(B, q) \Rightarrow \theta_B(A^{\kappa}_x) \geq \kappa$ and $\phi_B(A^{\kappa}_x) \leq 1 - \kappa$

Let $\alpha_1 = \theta_B(A^{\kappa}_x) = \sup\{\theta_A(m, q): Nm = Nx\}$

and $\alpha_2 = \phi_B(A^{\kappa}_x) = \inf\{\phi_A(m, q): Nm = Nx\}$.

Therefore $\alpha_1 \geq \kappa$ and $\alpha_2 \leq 1 - \kappa$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given there exist such that $m, n \in H$ and $q \in Q$ such that $Nm = Nx$ so that $mx^{-1} = a_1 \in N$ and $\theta_A(m, q) > \alpha_1 - \varepsilon \geq \kappa - \varepsilon$ and $Nn = Nx$ so that $nx^{-1} = a_2 \in N$ and $\phi_A(n, q) < \alpha_2 + \varepsilon \leq (1 - \kappa) + \varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_A(x, q) &= \theta_A(xa_1a_2^{-1}, q) \geq \theta_A(xa_1, q) \cap \phi_A(a_1, q) \\ &= \begin{cases} \geq \kappa & \text{if } \theta_A(xa_1, q) \geq \kappa \text{ and} \\ & \theta_A(xa_1, q) < \kappa \end{cases} \\ \phi_A(x, q) &= \phi_A(xa_2a_2^{-1}, q) \leq \phi_A(xa_2, q) \cup \phi_A(a_2, q) \\ &= \begin{cases} \leq 1 - \kappa & \text{if } \phi_A(xa_2, q) \leq 1 - \kappa \\ & \phi_A(xa_2, q) > 1 - \kappa \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus in any case $\theta_A(x, q) > \kappa - \varepsilon$ and $\phi_A(x, q) < (1 - \kappa) + \varepsilon$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\Rightarrow \theta_A(x, q) \geq \kappa \text{ and } \phi_A(x, q) \leq (1 - \kappa) \Rightarrow x \in C_{\kappa, 1-\kappa}(A)$$

$$\Rightarrow Nx = N \text{ so } A^{\kappa}_x = A^{\kappa}_e$$

Hence $C_{\kappa, 1-\kappa}(B, q) = \{A^{\kappa}_e\}$.

Acknowledgments

Authors would like to thank referees for their helpful comments.

4. References

4.1. Journal Article

- [1] Atanassov K T., “Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets”, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol.20,no.1,1986,pp.87-96.
- [2] Atanassov K T., “New Operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets”, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol.61,no.2, 1994, pp.137-142.
- [3] Atanassov K T., ”On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Versions of L. Zadeh’s extension principle”, Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Vol.13, no.3,2006,pp.33-36.
- [4] Barbhuiya S R., “t- Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sub algebra of BG-Algebras”Advanced Trends in Mathematics Vol. 3, 2015, pp.16-24.
- [5] BavanariSatyanarayana, BinduMadhavi and Durga Prasad R, “On Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideals in BCK-Algebras”International Journal of Algebra, Vol. 4, no. 15, 2010, pp.743 - 749
- [6] BodinKesorn, KhanrudeeMaimun, WatcharaRatbandan and Aiyaredlampan, “Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets in Up-Algebras”,Italian Journal of Pure and Applied MathematicsVo.34,2015, pp.339-364.
- [7] Dengfeng Li, Cheng Chutian, “New Similarly Measure of IFS and Application of Pattern recognition”,J.Pattern Recognition Letter, Vol.23, 2002, pp.221-225.
- [8] Ejegwa P A, Akowe SO, Otene P M and Ikyule J M, “An Overview On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets”International Journal of Scientific & Technology ResearchVol. 3,no.3, 2014,pp. 143-145.
- [9] MuhammdAkram, “Intuitionistic Fuzzy Closed Ideals in BCI-algebras”International Mathematical Forum, Vol.1, no. 9, 2006,pp. 445-453.
- [10] Sharma P K., “ (α, β) – Cut of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Groups”, International Mathematics Form, Vol.6, no.53, 2011, pp.2605-2614.
- [11] Sharma P K.,”Homomorphism of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Groups”, International Mathematics Form, Vol.6, no.64, 2011, pp.3169-3178.
- [12] Sharma P K.,” On the direct product of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Groups”, International Mathematics Form, Vol.7, no.11, 2012, pp.523-530.
- [13] Sharma P K.,”t- Intuitionistic Fuzzy Quotient modules” International Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics and System, Vol.2, no.1, 2012, pp.37-42.
- [14] Sharma P K.,”t- Intuitionistic Fuzzy Quotient Group”, Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics, Vol.7,no.1, 2012,pp-1-9.
- [15] Sharma P K.,” Intuitionistic Fuzzy Module over intuitionistic FuzzyRing”, International Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics and Systems, Vol.2,no.2, 2012,pp-133-140.
- [16] Solairaju A and Nagarajan R, A new structure and Construction of Q-fuzzy Groups, Advanced in Fuzzy Mathematics, Vol.4, pp.23-29, (2009).
- [17] SupriyaK.De, Biswas R and Roy A R, “ An application of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets in Medical diagnosis”, Fuzzy Sets and System, Vol.117, 2001, pp-209-213.
- [18] Sujatha K Chandramouleeswaran M and Muralikrishna P, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Filters On- β algebras”, International J. of Math. Sci. &Engg. Appls., Vol. 9,no.3, 2015, pp. 117-123.

- [19] Szmidt E and Kacprzyk J, "of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets in group decision making", Notes IFS, Vol.2,no.1, 1996,pp-11-14.
- [20] TriptiBej and Madhumangal Pal, "Doubt Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideals in BCK/BCI-Algebras", International Journal of Fuzzy Logic Systems, Vol.5, no.1, 2015,pp.1-13.
- [21] Zadeh L A, "Fuzzy Sets", Information and Control, Vol.8, 1965,pp-338-353.