# **Split Litact Domination in Graphs**

Renuka Lakshmi<sup>1</sup> Abdul Majeed<sup>2</sup> J. Vasundhara Devi<sup>3</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Scholar of JNTU Kakinada &Assistant professor of Mathematics, Deparment of BS & H, Vignan's Lara Institute of Technology and Science, Vadlamudi, Guntur, A. P, India. Email:renukalara@gmail.com
 <sup>2</sup>Professor and Head of Mathematics, Muffakham Jah college of Engineering and Technology Hyderabad, Telangana, India. Email: abdulmajeed.maths@gmail.com
 <sup>3</sup>Professor of Mathematics, GVP College of Engineering (A), Madhurawada, Visakhapatnam, A.P,India email:jvdevi.lias@gvpce.ac.in Corresponding author: A.Renuka Lakshmi

### Abstract

Let G = (V, E) be a graph and  $m(G) = C(G) \cup E(G)$  be a litact graph of G. Let  $D \subseteq V(m(G))$  be a litact dominating set is said to be a split litact dominating set, if the sub graph  $\langle V(m(G)) - D \rangle$  is disconnected. The minimum cardinality of split litact dominating set is called split litact domination number and it is denoted by  $\gamma_{sm}$ . In this paper we investigate different variants of  $\gamma_{sm}(G)$  with other domination variants of G for some established graphs like Cp, Wp, Kp, Km, n, Trees, etc. Further the Nordhaus- Gaddum types of results are also established.

**Keywords:**  $m(G), \gamma_m(G), \gamma_{sm}(G)$ 

Mathematics subject classification number: AMS-05C69,70.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

We refer F.Harary [5] and V.R.Kulli [10] for basic notations and definitions. Here we considered all graphs as finite, connected, simple, undirected and non-trivial. The concept of split domination number was introduced by Kulli and Janakiram in [9]. We are interested in finding the split domination in a litact graph which was introduced and described by M.H.Muddebihal [11]. Many authors explored the variant in their research. K.V.Suryanarayana Rao and V. Sreenivansan discussed " The Split Domination in Arithmetic Graphs" in [15]. A study on "Split block subdivision domination in graphs" was given by M.H.Muddebihal, P.Shekanna and Shabbir Ahmed in [12]. "Split and Strong Split Steiner Domination Number of graphs" was studied by K. Ramalakshmi, & K. Palani in [14]. A brief study on "Split Domination, Independence, and Irredundance in Graphs" described by Stephen Hedetniemi, Fiona Knoll, Renu Laskar can be found in [16] and a discussion of "Split domination number of k - Duplication of outer planar graphs" is given by M. Priyadharshini and N. Parvathi in [13]. A. Alwardi, K. Ebadi, M. Manrique And N. Soner discussed the "Semi-strong split domination in graphs" in [1]. Chelvam T.T., Chellathurai S.R [3] has given many bounds for the "A note on split domination number of a graph". S. Delbin Prema, C. Jayasekaran has given a detailed study on "The Split Domination and Irredundant Number of a Graph" in [4]. A discussion on "The Split Domination, Inverse Domination and Equitable Domination in the Middle and the Central graphs of the Path and the Cycle graphs" is provided by K. Ameenal Bibi & P.Rajakumari in [2]. B. Janakiraman, N.D. Soner and B. Chaluvaraju discussed the "Total Split Domination in Graphs" in [8].

### 2. DEFINITIONS

**Definition 2.1:** An *induced subgraph* of a graph is another graph, formed from a subset of the vertices of the

graph and all of the edges connecting pairs of vertices in that subset. It is denoted by  $\langle x \rangle$ .

**Definition 2.2** The neighbourhood does not include v itself is called *open neighbourhood* is denoted by

 $N_G(V)$ . Neighbourhood which v is included called the *closed neighbourhood* and is denoted by  $N_G[V]$ .

**Definiton 2.3:** A vertex C is called a *Cut vertex* if G - C has more connected components of G.

**Definition 2.4:** Let G = (V, E) be a graph of order n. The *Complement* graph  $\overline{G}$  is the graph with  $V(\overline{G}) = V(G)$ 

and  $E(\overline{G}) = E(K_n) \setminus E(G)$ .

**Definition 2.5:** A set *D* is said to be *Dominating set* if each vertex  $v \in V$  is either an vertex of *D* or

is adjacent to an vertex of D and the number is denoted by  $\gamma(G)$  and  $\gamma(G) = min|D|$ .

**Definition 2.6:** A set *D* is said to be a *Total Dominating set* if it must be dominating set and each vertex  $v \in V$ 

must be adjacent with at least one vertex  $u \in V$ ,  $u \neq v$  in D and it is denoted by  $\gamma_t(G)$ 

and

$$\gamma_t(G) = min|D|.$$

**Definition 2.7:** A set *D* is said to be a **Connected Dominating set**, if it must be dominating set and

the subgraph induced by  $\langle D \rangle$  has no isolated vertices. Connected domination number of G,

is denoted by  $\gamma_c(G)$  and  $\gamma_c(G) = min|D|$ .

**Definition 2.8:** The *Litact Graph* m(G) of a graph G is the graph whose vertex set is the union of the

set of edges and the set of cut vertices of G in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges and cut vertices are adjacent or incident in G.

**Definition 2.9:** A dominating set  $D \subseteq V(m(G))$  is called *Litact Dominating set* of G, if each vertex

in V - D is adjacent to a vertex v in D. Litact domination number of G, is denoted by  $\gamma_m(G)$  and  $\gamma_m(G) = min|D|$ .

ISSN: 2233-7857 IJFGCN Copyright ©2020 SERSC **Definition 2.10:** A litact dominating set  $D \subseteq V(m(G))$  is a *Split Litact Dominating set*, if the sub

graph  $\langle V(m(G)) - D \rangle$  is disconnected. Split litact domination number in m(G),

is

denoted by  $\gamma_{sm}(G)$  and  $\gamma_{sm}(G) = min|D|$ .

### **3. OUTCOMES**

For furthermore outcomes we need the following statements.

**Theorem 3. A** [10]: For every graph G,  $\gamma(G) \leq p - \Delta(G)$ . **Theorem 3. B** [10]: For every graph G,  $\left[\frac{p}{1+\Delta(G)}\right] \leq \gamma(G)$ .

**Theorem 3. C** [10]: For every graph G,  $\gamma(G) \leq \beta_0(G)$ .

**Theorem 3. D**[7]: For every graph G,  $\alpha_0(G) + \beta_0(G) = p$  and if G has no disconnected vertices, then  $\alpha_1(G) + \beta_1(G) = p$ .

### 4. THEOREMS:

The split litact domination number for a few well-known graphs is given beneath:

#### **Theorem 4.1 Particular values:**

- (i) For each cycle graph  $C_p$ , with  $p \ge 3$  vertices,  $\gamma_{sm}(C_p) = 0$  if p = 3 and  $\gamma_{sm}(C_p) \ge 2$  if p > 3.
- (ii) For each wheel graph  $W_p \gamma_{sm}(W_p) = p, \ p \ge 4$ .
- (iii) For each graph  $K_p$  with  $p \ge 3$  vertices,  $\gamma_{sm}(K_p) = 0$  if p = 3 and  $\le 2p - 3$  if p > 3.
- (iv) For each star graph  $K_{1,p}$ ,  $\gamma_{sm}(K_{1,p}) = 0$ .

We get the relation between  $\gamma_{sm}(G)$  and V(G) in the next theorem.

# Theorem 4.2: For every graph G, $\gamma_{sm}(G) < 2p - 1$ except $K_p$ , $p \ge 6$ .

**Proof**: Let edge set be  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_j\}$  in G and cut vertex set be  $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$  in G so that  $E \cup C \subseteq V(m(G))$ . Let split dominating set be  $D_1 \subseteq V(m(G))$  is minimal in m(G),  $D_2 \subseteq V(m(G)) - D_1$  and  $D_2 \in N(D_1)$  then  $|D_1 \cup D_2| = V(m(G))$  and  $|D_1 \cup D_2| < 2p$ . Since  $1 < |D_2|$   $\Rightarrow 1 + |D_1| < |D_2| + |D_1|$  $\Rightarrow |D_1| < |D_2| - 1$ 

$$\Rightarrow |D_1| < |D_1 \cup D_2| - 1$$
$$\Rightarrow |D_1| < 2p - 1$$

Therefore,  $\gamma_{sm}(G) < 2p - 1$ .

The following corollary relates split litact domination number in graph G, vertices of G and maximum degree of G.

Corollary 4.1: For each graph *G* except  $K_p$ ,  $\gamma(G) + \gamma_{sm}(G) < 3p - \Delta(G) - 1$ . **Proof:** From Theorem 3.A,  $\gamma(G) \le p - \Delta(G)$  and from Theorem 4.2,  $\gamma_{sm}(G) < 2p - 1$  we get,  $\gamma(G) + \gamma_{sm}(G) < 3p - \Delta(G) - 1$ .

We relate with the below theorem  $\gamma_{sm}(G) \& \gamma(G)$ .

## Theorem 4.3: For each graph G, $\gamma_{sm}(G) \ge \gamma(G) - 1$ . Equality holds when $\gamma_{sm}(G) = 0$ .

**Proof:** Let *D* be a minimal set which is dominating in *G* so that  $\gamma(G) = |D|$ . Consider edge set be *E* and cut vertex set be *C* in *G*. Let  $D_1 \in V(m(G))$  be a minimal set which is dominating in m(G). Additionally if  $D_2 \in V(m(G)) - D_1$  and  $D_2 \in N(D_1)$  then recollect a set  $D_2' \subset D_2$  so that  $D_2' \cup D_1$  forms a split minimal set that is dominating in m(G), that is  $\gamma_{sm}(G) = |D_1 \cup D_2'|$ . Openly  $|D| \le |D_1 \cup D_2'| + 1$ . Thus  $|D| - 1 \le |D_1 \cup D_2'|$ . It follows that  $\gamma_{sm}(G) \ge \gamma(G) - 1$ .

In the succeeding corollary, we relates  $\gamma_{sm}(G)$ , *p* and  $\Delta(G)$ .

Corollary 4.2: For each graph G,  $\left[\frac{p}{1+\Delta(G)}\right] \leq \gamma_{sm}(G) + 1$ .

**Proof:** From Theorem 3. B & Theorem 4.3 we are able to obtain  $\left[\frac{p}{1+\Delta(G)}\right] \le \gamma_{sm}(G) + 1$ .

Within the following theorem, we get a relation among  $\gamma_{sm}(G)$ ,  $\alpha_0(G)$ ,  $\gamma(G)$  and diam(G).

# Theorem 4.4: For every graph G, $\gamma_{sm}(G) \le \alpha_0(G) + \gamma(G) + diam(G)$ . Equality holds for some standard graphs.

**Proof:** Let all edges covered by a minimal vertex set be  $A \subseteq V(G)$  of G then  $|A| = \alpha_0(G)$ . Further there exists an edge set  $E' \subseteq E$ , are incident with the vertices of A constituting the longest path of G so that |E'| = diam(G). Let D be a minimal set which is dominating and cut vertex set be C in G. Let Dbe a  $\gamma_{sm}$  – set of G so that  $\gamma_{sm}(G) = |D|$ . Since D has minimum no of vertices,  $|D| \leq |A| \cup |D| \cup |E'|$ . Hence,  $\gamma_{sm}(G) \leq \alpha_0(G) + \gamma(G) + diam(G)$ .

The following corollary gives relationship between split litact domination number, vertices and diameter of graph G.

Corollary 3.3: For each graph G,  $\gamma_{sm}(G) \leq p + diam(G)$ .

**Proof:** From Theorem 4.4, Theorem 3.C and Theorem 3.D, we have

$$\gamma_{sm}(G) \le \alpha_0(G) + \gamma(G) + diam(G)$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_{sm}(G) \le \alpha_0(G) + \beta_0(G) + diam(G)$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_{sm}(G) \le p + diam(G).$$

The succeeding theorem relates with split litact domination number,  $\gamma_t(G) \& \gamma(G)$ .

# Theorem 4.5: For each graph G, $\gamma_{sm}(G) + \gamma_t(G) \ge 2\gamma(G) - 1$ .

**Proof:** We have  $\gamma_t(G) \ge \gamma(G)$  and from Theorem 4.3, we get the above result.

In the next corollary, we relates  $\gamma_{sm}(G)$ ,  $\gamma_t(G)$ , V(G) and  $\Delta(G)$ .

Corollary 4.4: For each graph G,  $\gamma_{sm}(G) + \gamma_t(G) > \left[\frac{p}{1+\Delta(G)}\right]$ .

**Proof**: From Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 3.B we get  $\gamma_{sm}(G) + \gamma_t(G) > \left[\frac{p}{1+\Delta(G)}\right]$ .

Within the following theorem, we obtain a relation among  $\gamma_{sm}(G) \& E(G)$ .

Theorem 4.6: For any graph G,  $\gamma_{sm}(G) < q$ .

**Proof:** Let dominating minimal set be D and cut vertex set be C in G. Let D be a  $\gamma_{sm}$  – set of G so that  $\gamma_{sm}(G) = |D|$ .Let edge set be E(G) in G that is |E(G)| = q. Thus |D| < q. Hence  $\gamma_{sm}(G) < q$ .

In the succeeding theorem we obtain the  $\gamma_{sm}(G)$  with regard to  $\alpha_0(G)$ .

Theorem 4.7: For each graph  $G, \left\lfloor \frac{\gamma_{sm}(G)}{2} \right\rfloor < \alpha_0(G)$ .

ISSN: 2233-7857 IJFGCN Copyright ©2020 SERSC **Proof:** Let all edges covered by a minimal vertex set be  $A \subseteq V(G)$  in *G* then  $|A| = \alpha_0(G)$ . Let |D| be forms a split minimal set and is dominating in m(G), that is |D| < 2|A|. Thus  $\gamma_{sm}(G) < 2\alpha_0(G)$ . Hence  $\left|\frac{\gamma_{sm}(G)}{2}\right| < \frac{\gamma_{sm}(G)}{2} < \alpha_0(G)$ .

In succeeding theorem we obtain a relation among diameter in G and split domination number of m(G).

# Theorem 4.8: In a graph G, $\left\lfloor \frac{diam(G)+1}{2} \right\rfloor \le \gamma_{sm}(G)$ except some standard graphs.

**Proof:** Let edge set be *E* in *G* which forms the greatest distance between two vertices in *G*. Then |E| = diam(G) and cut vertex set be *C* in *G*. Then  $E \cup C \subseteq V(m(G))$ . Let *D* forms a split minimal set and is dominating in m(G). Since  $E \subseteq V(m(G))$  and *D* is a  $\gamma_{sm}$  – set,  $diam(G) \leq 2 \gamma_{sm}(G) - 1$  which gives  $\left\lfloor \frac{diam(G)+1}{2} \right\rfloor \leq \gamma_{sm}(G)$ .

The next corollary we relates  $\gamma_{sm}(G)$ ,  $\gamma(G)$  and V(G).

# Corollary 4.5: For each graph G, $\left|\frac{\gamma_{sm}(G)}{2}\right| + \gamma(G) < p$ .

**Proof:** It follows from Theorem 4.7, Theorem 3.C and Theorem 3.D.

In the next theorem we get a relationship between vertex set of G, diameter, domination number of graph G and split domination number in m(G).

## Theorem 4.9: For each graph G, $\gamma_{sm}(G) + p \ge diam(G) + \gamma(G)$ . Equality holds for $P_2$ .

**Proof:** Let edge set be  $A \subseteq E(G)$  has a path which is longest among any two apparent vertices of graph G so that |A| = diam(G). Furthermore, Let D be any dominating set which is minimal in G and D' forms a split minimal set which is dominating in m(G) so that |D'| + P > |A| + |D|. This gives  $\gamma_{sm}(G) + p > diam(G) + \gamma(G)$ .

In the next theorem we obtain a relationship between E(G),  $\Delta(G) \& \gamma_{sm}(G)$ .

# Theorem 4.10: For each graph $G, \gamma_{sm}(G) < \frac{q\Delta(G)}{\Delta(G)+1}$ .

**Proof:** Let a dominating set be  $D = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$  in *G* and *D* be a dominating set in *G* there exists at least one vertex  $v \in D$  of maximum degree  $\Delta(G)$  in *G*. Let the edge number be q = |E| in *G* and cut vertex set be  $C \in V(G)$  in *G* then the set  $D_1 \in V(m(G))$  where  $D_1 \subseteq E \cup C$  such that  $N(D_1) = V(m(G)) - D_1$  is disconnected. Then  $D_1$  forms a split minimal set which is dominating in m(G) so that  $|D_1| < \frac{q\Delta(G)}{\Delta(G)+1}$ . Hence  $\gamma_{sm}(G) < \frac{q\Delta(G)}{\Delta(G)+1}$ .

In the next theorem we establish a relationship between  $\gamma(G)$ ,  $\delta(G)$  and  $\gamma_{sm}(G)$ .

# Theorem 4.11: In *G*, $\left\lfloor \frac{\gamma_{sm}(G)}{2} \right\rfloor < \gamma(G) + \delta(G)$ .

**Proof:** Let minimal dominating set be  $D' = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$  in *G* and V' = V - D'. Now there exists at least a vertex *v* of minimum degree  $\delta(G) \in V'$  in *G*. Let edge set be  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  in *G* and set of cut vertices be  $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}$  in *G*. Then  $E \cup C \subseteq V(m(G))$ . Let dominating minimal set be  $D_1 \subseteq E \cup C$  in  $m(G) \& N(D_1) \in V(m(G)) - D_1$  is disconnected. Then  $D_1$  forms a split minimal dominating set in m(G) and there exists as a minimum one vertex *v* of  $D_1$  is adjacent to a vertex of minimum degree such that  $\left\lfloor \frac{D_1}{2} \right\rfloor < |D'| + \delta(G)$ . Hence  $\left\lfloor \frac{\gamma_{sm}}{2} \right\rfloor < \gamma(G) + \delta(G)$ .

In the succeeding proof, we obtain a relation for  $\gamma_t(G)$ ,  $\gamma_{sm}(G)$  &  $\Delta(G)$ .

# Theorem 4.12: For every graph G, $\gamma_{sm}(G) \leq \gamma_t(G) + \Delta(G) + 1$ . Equality holds for $W_n$ & $K_6$ .

3646

ISSN: 2233-7857 IJFGCN Copyright ©2020 SERSC **Proof:** Let minimal dominating set be D in G. Let  $V_1 = V(G) - D$  and  $H \subseteq V_1$  such that  $H \subseteq N(D)$  in G, then dominating set  $|D \cup H|$  is a total in G. Let  $A = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$  be the vertex set in G, there exists at least a vertex  $v \in A$  utmost deg(G) in G ( $D \subseteq A$ ). Consider a edge set  $E \subseteq E(G)$  in G and  $C \in V(G)$  be a cut vertex in G. Let minimal dominating set be  $D_1 \subseteq E \cup C$  in m(G) and  $N(D_1) \in V(m(G)) - D_1$  is disconnected. Then dominating set  $D_1$  constitute a minimal set in m(G). Thus  $|D_1| \subseteq |D \cup H| + \Delta(G) + 1$ . Thus  $\gamma_{sm}(G) \leq \gamma_t(G) + \Delta(G) + 1$ .

### 5. NORDHAUS-GADDUM TYPE OUTCOMES:

### Theorem 5.1: For every graph *G*,

 $i)\gamma_{sm}(G) + \gamma_{sm}(\bar{G}) < 2p$   $ii)\gamma_{sm}(G) \cdot \gamma_{sm}(\bar{G}) < 2p$ 

### 6. CONCLUSION:

Graph properties have a significant role in encryption. A dominating set of a graph is used to break the code easily. The concept of split litact domination is a variant of usual domination. In the information retrieval system, the dominating set and the elements of split litact dominating sets can stand alone to make the process of communication more easy. Also it has wide applications in coding theory, computer science, switching circuits, electrical networks etc.,

In this paper we extend litact dominating set to split litact dominating set of a graph. The accurate values of this new variant is calculated for different graphs and obtained the upper and lower bounds of it with different parameters of a graph. One can extend this work by studying their applications in a wider sense.

### REFERENCE

[1] Alwardi A., Ebadi K., Manrique M. and Soner N., "Semi-strong split domination in graphs", Transactions

on Combinatorics, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2014.

[2] Ameenal Bibi K. & Rajakumari P., "The Split Domination, Inverse Domination and Equitable domination in

the Middle and the Central graphs of the Path and the Cycle graphs", International Journal of Mathematics

Trends and Technology, Vol 49, Iss 3, 2017.

[3] Chelvam T.T., Chellathurai S.R., "A note on split domination number of a graph", Journal of Discrete

Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography 12(2), 2009.

[4] Delbin Prema S. and Jayasekaran C., "The Split Domination and Irredundant Number of a

Graph",International Journal of Advance Research in Science and Engineering,Vol 7, Special Issue 4,2018.

[5] Harary F., Graph theory, Adison Wesley, Reading mass, 1972.

[6] Haynes T.W., Hedetniemi S.T. and Slater P.J., Domination in graph, Advanced Topics, New York, Marcel

Dekker, Inc.,1998.

[7] Haynes T.W., Hedetniemi S.T. and Slater P.J., Fundamentals of domination in graph, New York, Marcel

Dekker, Inc., 1998.

[8] Janakiraman B., Soner N.D., and Chaluvaraju B., "Total Split Domination in Graphs", Far East J.Appl.

Math. 6, 2002.

[9] Kulli V.R. and Janakiram B., "The split domination number of a graph", Graph Theory notes of New York,

New York Academy of Sciences, XXXII, 1997.

[10]Kulli V.R, Theory of Domination in Graphs, Vishwa Inter. Publ. Gulbarga (2010), India.

[11] Muddebihal M.H., "Lict and Litact graph of a graph", J. analysis and computation, Vol – 3, No – 2, 2006.

[12] Muddebihal M.H., Shekanna P.and Shabbir Ahmed, "Split block subdivision domination in graphs", International Journal of Technical Research and Applications, Vol 2, Issue 5, 2014.

[13] Priyadharshini M $\,$  and Parvathi N., "Split domination number of k - Duplication of outer planar graphs" AIP

Conference Proceedings, Volume 2112, Issue 1, 2019.

[14] Ramalakshmi K.& Palani K., "Split and Strong Split Steiner Domination Number of graphs" International

J. of Science Engineering and Management, Vol 2, Iss12, 2017.

[15] Suryanarayana Rao K.V. and Sreenivansan V., "The Split Domination in Arithmetic Graphs", International

Journal of Computer Applications, Volume 29, Issue.3, 2011.

[16] Stephen Hedetniemi, Fiona Knoll, Renu Laskar, "Split Domination, Independence, and Irredundance in

Graphs", arxiv:1605.03151v2, 2016.